Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey

Characteristic	Weig	hted	Un-weighted		
Category	Number	%	Number	%	
Age		,			
18 years old	2,675	6.5	563	8.4	
19 years old	5,721	13.9	983	14.6	
20 years old	7,593	18.5	908	13.5	
21 years old	5,891	14.4	989	14.7	
22 years old	4,576	11.2	655	9.8	
23 years old	2,331	5.7	426	6.3	
24 years old	2,045	5.0	371	5.5	
25 years or older	10,183	24.8	1,817	27.1	
Student Affiliation					
Undergraduate	26,973	65.8	4,110	61.2	
Graduate or Professional	14,043	34.2	2,602	38.8	
Year in school/program					
Freshman	2,922	7.1	814	12.1	
Sophomore	6,189	15.1	901	13.4	
Junior	6,469	15.8	1,118	16.7	
Senior	11,393	27.8	1,277	19.0	
Graduate or Prof 1st year	5,297	12.9	1,002	14.9	
Graduate or Prof 2nd year	3,603	8.8	673	10.0	
Graduate or Prof 3rd year	1,943	4.7	345	5.1	
Graduate or Prof 4th year or higher	3,200	7.8	582	8.7	
Year first enrolled in the college or university					
2010 or earlier	4,751	11.6	847	12.6	
2011	7,559	18.4	1,042	15.5	
2012	8,316	20.3	1,221	18.2	
2013	9,868	24.1	1,501	22.4	
2014 or 2015	10,521	25.7	2,101	31.3	
Hispanic or Latino?	i		1		
Yes	2,151	5.2	330	4.9	
No	38,865	94.8	6,382	95.1	

Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey (continued)

Characteristic	Weig	ghted	Un-weighted		
Category	Number	%	Number	%	
Race					
White only	26,058	63.5	4,908	73.1	
Black only	1,767	4.3	237	3.5	
Asian only	10,391	25.3	1,232	18.4	
Other/Multi race	2,799	6.8	335	5.0	
Gender Identity			1		
Female	19,459	47.4	3,954	58.9	
Male	21,123	51.5	2,681	39.9	
TGQN	288	0.7	53	0.8	
Decline to State run	147	0.4	24	0.4	
Sexual Orientation			1		
Heterosexual	36,113	88.0	5,913	88.1	
Gay/Lesbian	1,533	3.7	223	3.3	
Other	2,723	6.6	476	7.1	
Decline to State/did not answer question	647	1.6	100	1.5	
Since enrolled in college or university, have you be	en in a partnered re	lationship?	1		
Yes	30,496	74.4	5,083	75.7	
No	10,488	25.6	1,623	24.2	
Did not answer the question	32	0.1	6	0.1	
Have a disability registered with the university?			,		
Yes	1,360	3.3	244	3.6	
No	39,623	96.6	6,462	96.3	
Did not answer the question	33	0.1	6	0.1	

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to anOfficial by Gender and Enrollment Status1,2

				Female (n=3,966)			Male (n	=2,693)					
Survey Item	Total		Total		Total		Undergraduate Total (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr				
If someone were	·				uct to an oj	fficial, how	likely is it i	that						
Students would s	upport the	person mo	aking the re	eport.				:						
Not at all	2.1	0.2	2.9	0.3	1.6	0.3	1.4	0.4	2.3	0.4				
A little	9.6	0.4	12.2	0.7	12.3	0.8	8.0	0.7	5.3	0.6				
Somewhat	35.8	0.6	39.5	1.2	40.7	1.0	31.0	1.2	33.8	1.4				
Very	42.0	0.6	37.1	1.1	38.8	1.1	45.2	1.5	47.6	1.2				
Extremely	10.5	0.4	8.3	0.6	6.5	0.7	14.4	0.9	11.0	1.1				
The alleged offen	nder(s) or t	heir associo	ates would	retaliate a	gainst the	person ma	king the re	port.						
Not at all	5.8	0.3	4.3	0.5	5.2	0.6	6.8	0.7	7.2	0.8				
A little	27.3	0.7	21.9	1.0	24.2	1.1	31.7	1.2	31.6	1.1				
Somewhat	41.7	0.7	39.8	1.0	44.5	1.4	40.8	1.4	43.6	1.5				
Very	19.3	0.5	24.6	0.9	21.7	1.2	15.8	1.0	14.3	0.7				
Extremely	6.0	0.3	9.4	0.7	4.5	0.6	4.9	0.6	3.3	0.5				
Campus officials	would take	e the repor	t seriously.											
Not at all	3.5	0.2	5.1	0.5	2.3	0.3	2.8	0.5	2.7	0.4				
A little	10.7	0.4	16.1	0.8	12.3	0.9	7.7	0.7	5.5	0.6				
Somewhat	28.6	0.6	35.1	1.1	31.7	1.1	23.7	1.2	22.8	1.1				
Very	37.5	0.6	32.2	0.9	40.9	1.3	37.6	1.4	44.0	1.3				
Extremely	19.8	0.6	11.5	0.8	12.8	0.9	28.2	1.3	25.0	1.3				

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct byGender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (r	n=3,966)			Male (n:	=2,693)		
Survey Item	Total		Total			Graduate or Undergraduate Professional (n=2,525) (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Campus officials	would pro	ptect the so	afety of the	person ma	king the re	port.					
Not at all	4.8	0.2	6.6	0.6	4.8	0.4	3.6	0.4	3.6	0.5	
A little	13.0	0.4	19.5	1.0	14.7	0.9	9.5	0.8	6.2	0.7	
Somewhat	32.4	0.6	34.6	1.0	37.6	1.1	29.6	1.3	29.4	1.5	
Very	34.2	0.7	29.1	0.8	33.7	1.1	34.9	1.4	41.8	1.5	
Extremely	15.6	0.5	10.1	0.7	9.1	0.7	22.3	1.1	18.9	1.2	
Campus officials would conduct a fair investigation.											
Not at all	6.2	0.3	8.2	0.6	4.4	0.7	6.6	0.6	3.8	0.5	
A little	15.2	0.5	20.4	0.9	15.3	0.9	12.0	1.0	10.9	0.8	
Somewhat	38.3	0.6	40.1	1.0	39.7	1.3	37.5	1.2	36.1	1.6	
Very	30.8	0.6	24.8	0.9	33.9	1.2	31.6	1.2	37.4	1.3	
Extremely	9.4	0.3	6.5	0.5	6.7	0.7	12.3	0.7	11.8	0.8	
Campus officials	would tal	ke action a	gainst the c	offender(s).							
Not at all	7.0	0.3	10.9	0.7	6.9	0.6	4.9	0.5	3.9	0.5	
A little	20.3	0.5	28.0	1.0	23.7	1.1	15.6	0.8	12.4	1.0	
Somewhat	37.2	0.6	36.9	1.2	41.7	1.2	35.9	1.4	36.5	1.3	
Very	26.3	0.6	19.1	1.0	23.3	1.1	30.9	1.3	33.4	1.3	
Extremely	9.1	0.4	5.1	0.4	4.4	0.5	12.6	0.8	13.7	1.0	
Campus officials	would tal	ke action to	o address fo	ictors that i	may have l	ed to the s	exual assau	ilt or sexual	miscondu	ct.	
Not at all	11.3	0.4	15.7	0.9	13.2	0.8	7.7	0.6	8.6	0.7	
A little	22.8	0.4	26.4	1.0	25.7	1.2	19.8	0.9	18.7	1.2	
Somewhat	35.2	0.6	33.9	1.0	36.9	1.2	35.3	1.1	36.1	1.2	
Very	24.0	0.5	19.7	0.8	20.6	1.1	27.3	1.1	28.9	1.2	
Extremely	6.7	0.3	4.4	0.4	3.6	0.5	9.8	0.9	7.6	0.6	

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to anOfficial by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN	(n=53)			
Survey Item	Undergr (n=3		Graduate or Professional (n=21)			
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
If someone were to report	sexual assault or sexual r	misconduct to an officia	l, how likely is it that			
Students would support th						
Not at all	S	S	S	S		
A little	20.6	7.7	29.5	11.2		
Somewhat	30.4	9.3	36.0	12.1		
Very	38.3	9.9	21.5	15.9		
Extremely	S	S	S	S		
The alleged offender(s) or	their associates would re	taliate against the pers	on making the report.			
Not at all	S	S	-	-		
A little	17.2	6.9	14.3	8.0		
Somewhat	54.5	10.8	56.0	13.1		
Very	20.3	7.4	17.0	9.2		
Extremely	S	S	S	S		
Campus officials would tak	ke the report seriously.					
Not at all	S	S	S	S		
A little	12.3	6.2	19.2	9.1		
Somewhat	38.8	10.9	57.5	10.0		
Very	29.7	12.3	S	S		
Extremely	18.1	7.3	S	S		

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

	TGQN (n=53)								
Survey Item	Undergr (n=		Graduate or (n=						
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr					
Campus official would pr	otect the safety of the p	erson making the report.							
Not at all	S	S	S	S					
A little	12.3	6.2	44.7	10.5					
Somewhat	46.4	10.2	18.7	8.8					
Very	28.5	9.0	15.7	7.8					
Extremely	7.7	4.3	S	S					
Campus officials would c	onduct a fair investigati	on.							
Not at all	S	S	S	S					
A little	40.2	9.7	38.5	9.1					
Somewhat	30.4	9.7	40.9	10.5					
Very	22.3	8.1	S	S					
Extremely	S	S	-	-					
Campus officials would to	ake action against the o	ffender(s).	'						
Not at all	S	S	19.7	9.3					
A little	39.4	10.9	29.1	10.3					
Somewhat	34.4	9.3	38.3	12.5					
Very	19.3	9.9	S	S					
Extremely	S	S	S	S					
Campus officials would to	ake action to address fa	ctors that may have led t	o the sexual assault or se	xual misconduct.					
Not at all	S	S	27.5	10.3					
A little	40.2	11.7	42.2	10.5					
Somewhat	40.8	10.5	17.1	8.0					
Very	8.3	4.8	S	S					
Extremely	S	S	S	S					

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=2,693)			
Survey Item	Тс	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		uate or ssional ,,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Have you been in any of the following situations? If so,	what did y	ou do?			,						
Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted											
Yes	21.3	0.6	35.2	1.0	13.2	0.8	19.1	1.2	8.0	0.6	
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	14.1	1.1	15.4	1.5	9.4	1.9	12.7	2.3	16.5	3.8	
Did nothing for another reason	20.4	1.4	16.7	1.3	17.2	2.8	27.9	3.2	20.2	4.5	
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	56.9	1.6	60.1	2.0	63.3	3.6	49.9	3.6	54.0	4.9	
Took action in another way	8.6	0.6	7.8	0.8	10.2	2.1	9.5	1.9	9.4	2.7	
No	78.7	0.6	64.8	1.0	86.8	0.8	80.9	1.2	92.0	0.6	
Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter									1		
Yes	50.0	0.6	60.6	1.1	37.0	1.3	55.8	1.2	33.0	1.2	
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	24.9	1.0	29.5	1.3	25.0	2.0	20.8	1.7	22.2	2.0	
Did nothing for another reason	53.7	1.0	41.7	1.3	55.2	1.9	62.1	1.7	65.8	2.1	
Directly intervened to stop it	8.0	0.5	11.3	0.8	6.9	1.1	6.5	0.9	3.3	0.8	
Spoke to someone else to seek help	6.5	0.4	9.3	0.8	5.9	1.1	4.7	0.7	3.4	0.9	
Took action in another way	6.9	0.4	8.2	0.7	7.1	1.1	5.9	0.8	5.3	1.1	
No	50.0	0.6	39.4	1.1	63.0	1.3	44.2	1.2	67.0	1.2	

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n=3,966)				Male (n=2,693)			
Survey Item		Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		uate or ssional .,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing	Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing manner										
Yes	22.0	0.5	31.1	1.0	17.8	0.9	21.0	1.0	11.5	0.8	
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	23.5	1.2	24.9	2.0	23.7	2.6	21.2	2.4	24.2	3.9	
Did nothing for another reason	30.9	1.1	28.2	1.8	33.8	2.9	32.2	2.1	34.9	4.1	
Directly intervened to stop it	19.2	112	17.4	1.4	14.2	2.2	24.3	2.4	18.0	3.5	
Spoke to someone else to seek help	14.2	1.1	17.4	1.6	15.6	2.4	10.2	1.8	10.1	2.3	
Took action in another way	12.1	0.8	12.1	1.2	12.7	2.1	12.0	2.0	12.8	2.7	
Νο	78.0	0.5	68.9	1.0	82.2	0.9	79.0	1.0	88.5	0.8	

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN (n=53)						
Survey Item		raduate =32)	Graduate or Professional (n=21)					
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr				
Have you been in any of the following situations? If so, what die	d you do?							
Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted			1					
Yes	32.4	7.9	S	S				
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	-	-	-	-				
Did nothing for another reason	41.5	15.7	S	S				
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	55.1	15.8	S	S				
Took action in another way	S	S	-	-				
No	67.6	7.9	91.8	5.5				
Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter	· · ·							
Yes	49.9	10.2	45.9	10.1				
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	18.0	10.5	S	S				
Did nothing for another reason	43.3	18.1	49.1	16.9				
Directly intervened to stop it	17.4	10.7	-	-				
Spoke to someone else to seek help	S	S	S	S				
Took action in another way	S	S	S	S				
No	50.1	10.2	54.1	10.1				

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

	TGQN (n=53)									
Survey Item		graduate =32)		Professional 21)						
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr						
Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing manner										
Yes	34.2	8.5	57.7	9.9						
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	28.4	15.5	S	S						
Did nothing for another reason	S	S	59.5	15.6						
Directly intervened to stop it	24.9	14.0	S	S						
Spoke to someone else to seek help	25.0	13.6	S	S						
Took action in another way	S	S	-	-						
No	65.8	8.5	42.3	9.9						

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender andEnrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (I	n=3,966)			Male (n	=2,693)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual assault or	sexual mi	sconduct a	problem a	t university						
Not at all	8.6	0.3	2.3	0.3	10.6	0.8	7.1	0.5	20.6	1.3
A little	25.0	0.5	13.0	0.8	27.1	1.2	30.5	1.2	34.8	1.2
Somewhat	37.6	0.7	41.2	1.0	40.1	1.2	37.5	1.5	30.2	1.1
Very	21.7	0.6	31.0	1.1	17.6	1.0	19.8	1.1	11.8	0.9
Extremely	7.0	0.3	12.5	0.7	4.6	0.6	5.1	0.5	2.6	0.4
Likelihood of exp	eriencing	sexual asso	ault or sexu	al miscond	uct on cam	ipus				
Not at all	44.4	0.6	13.5	0.6	31.4	1.2	63.0	1.1	76.4	1.5
A little	32.3	0.5	38.9	1.0	44.3	1.2	27.3	1.0	20.6	1.4
Somewhat	15.3	0.4	29.3	0.9	17.8	0.8	7.5	0.6	2.2	0.4
Very	5.8	0.2	13.0	0.7	5.2	0.5	1.7	0.3	0.5	0.2
Extremely	2.2	0.2	5.3	0.5	1.3	0.2	0.5	0.2	S	S
Likelihood of exp	eriencing	sexual asso	ult or sexu	al miscond	uct off can	npus at uni	versity-spo	nsored ever	nts	
Not at all	42.5	0.6	15.7	0.8	30.6	1.2	58.9	1.2	70.5	1.5
A little	33.7	0.6	39.3	1.0	43.9	1.4	28.7	1.1	24.8	1.3
Somewhat	17.6	0.5	31.8	1.0	19.6	0.9	10.0	0.9	4.2	0.6
Very	5.1	0.3	10.9	0.6	4.8	0.5	2.1	0.4	0.4	0.2
Extremely	1.0	0.1	2.2	0.3	1.1	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

	TGQN (n=53)									
Survey Item	Underg (n=	raduate 32)	Graduate or Professional (n=21)							
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr						
Sexual assault or sexual	l misconduct a problem at univ	ersity								
Not at all	S	S	S	S						
A little	23.8	9.4	26.0	13.9						
Somewhat	33.4	8.6	22.9	9.3						
Very	22.3	6.7	34.9	11.4						
Extremely	15.4	6.5	10.6	5.5						
Likelihood of experienci	ng sexual assault or sexual mis	conduct on campus								
Not at all	33.6	9.4	27.0	8.5						
A little	29.5	8.3	27.5	9.9						
Somewhat	17.8	9.0	26.8	10.4						
Very	9.5	4.3	13.6	7.5						
Extremely	9.6	5.7	S	S						
Likelihood of experienci	ng sexual assault or sexual mis	conduct off campus at universit	y-sponsored events							
Not at all	23.7	9.1	21.7	9.1						
A little	39.8	9.7	33.0	13.5						
Somewhat	26.6	8.6	29.5	10.9						
Very	6.5	3.9	15.8	7.8						
Extremely	S	S	-	-						

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	Graduate orUndergraduateProfessionalTotal(n=2,525)(n=1,441)		ssional	Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional .,140)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Awareness of services						_				
Aware of services provided by Sexual Assault Prevention and Awareness Center (SAPAC)	65.5	0.5	86.0	0.8	47.3	1.0	70.1	1.4	36.1	1.2
Aware of services provided by Police Department	83.7	0.5	85.7	0.7	76.8	1.0	87.8	0.9	78.8	1.0
Aware of services provided by UM Residence Hall or Housing Staff	56.7	0.5	72.6	0.7	22.6	1.0	74.5	1.1	25.9	1.4
Aware of services provided by Office of the Dean of Students	30.5	0.6	26.7	0.9	27.7	1.1	34.5	1.2	31.8	1.1
Aware of services provided by Office of Institutional Equity or Title IX Coordinator	11.6	0.4	12.1	0.7	11.0	0.7	12.3	1.0	9.8	0.8
Aware of services provided by Office of Student Conflict Resolution	32.4	0.6	36.8	0.9	20.4	1.0	40.5	1.3	20.6	1.2
Aware of services provided by Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS)	81.9	0.3	93.8	0.4	76.7	1.1	84.4	0.8	61.0	1.2

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender andEnrollment Status¹ (continued)

				Female (n=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item	Total			raduate ,525)	Profes	Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		iate or ssional ,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Knowledgeable about how	sexual assau	lt and sexual	misconduct d	lefined at univ	versity						
Not at all	15.2	0.4	11.0	0.6	26.4	1.2	10.9	0.8	21.4	1.2	
A little bit	27.6	0.6	26.3	1.0	34.1	1.2	23.0	1.1	33.1	1.3	
Somewhat	33.9	0.6	34.6	0.9	27.5	1.0	36.8	1.4	32.3	1.2	
Very	18.0	0.6	21.0	1.0	9.3	0.7	22.8	1.2	11.3	0.8	
Extremely	5.3	0.3	7.1	0.6	2.7	0.4	6.4	0.8	2.0	0.4	
Knowledgeable about whe	re to get help	at university	if student or	friend experie	ence sexual as	sault or sexu	al misconduc	t			
Not at all	9.8	0.3	4.5	0.4	15.1	0.8	7.9	0.6	18.2	1.0	
A little bit	25.7	0.6	21.0	0.8	34.4	1.3	23.0	1.1	32.1	1.3	
Somewhat	35.7	0.4	37.6	1.0	32.5	1.1	35.4	0.9	34.9	1.3	
Very	21.0	0.5	26.6	0.8	13.5	0.9	24.4	1.2	11.4	0.8	
Extremely	7.8	0.4	10.3	0.6	4.5	0.5	9.4	0.9	3.5	0.5	
Knowledgeable about whe	re to make a	report if stud	ent or friend e	experience se	xual assault c	or sexual misc	onduct at uni	versity			
Not at all	16.7	0.4	15.3	0.8	25.3	1.1	12.8	0.8	19.4	1.1	
A little bit	27.8	0.6	27.5	1.0	29.9	1.2	25.0	1.2	31.2	1.1	
Somewhat	31.9	0.5	31.9	0.9	28.4	1.1	33.3	1.2	32.4	1.5	
Very	16.7	0.5	17.3	0.8	12.5	0.7	20.2	0.9	12.9	1.0	
Extremely	6.9	0.3	8.0	0.5	3.9	0.4	8.7	0.9	4.0	0.5	

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status¹ (continued)

				Female ((n=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)					
Survey Item	То	Total		raduate ,525)	Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional .,140)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Knowledgeable about what happens whe	n a student rep	oorts sexual	assault or s	sexual misco	onduct at u	niversity						
Not at all	37.6	0.6	35.8	1.0	49.1	1.7	31.7	1.3	42.4	1.4		
A little bit	30.0	0.6	28.6	0.8	25.9	1.1	32.6	1.2	31.6	1.5		
Somewhat	23.0	0.5	24.3	0.8	19.0	1.1	25.0	1.2	20.0	1.3		
Very	6.2	0.3	7.9	0.6	4.7	0.6	6.4	0.8	4.0	0.6		
Extremely	3.1	0.2	3.5	0.4	1.3	0.3	4.3	0.5	1.9	0.4		
Initial university orientation included info	mation about	sexual assa	ult or sexua	l miscondu	ct							
Yes	46.7	1.1	64.5	2.0	17.8	1.7	65.2	2.0	28.2	1.7		
Not at all	7.3	0.8	6.9	1.2	7.1	2.2	9.9	1.8	S	S		
A little	21.9	1.4	21.3	2.4	31.6	4.6	23.6	2.1	15.2	3.3		
Somewhat	45.0	1.7	44.7	2.4	42.2	5.5	39.8	2.7	60.5	4.4		
Very	22.9	1.5	24.0	1.9	15.6	3.4	23.7	2.5	22.1	3.5		
Extremely	2.9	0.7	3.2	0.8	S	S	3.0	1.1	S	S		
No	18.0	0.9	7.2	1.2	39.0	2.1	5.9	1.3	27.5	2.3		
I didn't attend orientation	5.5	0.5	1.6	0.5	10.1	1.2	1.9	0.6	10.5	1.4		
I don't remember	29.8	1.0	26.6	1.7	33.1	1.9	27.0	1.9	33.8	2.3		

Table 3.1a. Percent of Undergraduate Female Students Experiencing NonconsensualPenetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, CurrentYear vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item	Curren	t School	Year	Since Er	itering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	2,291	16.9	0.7	4,114	30.3	0.8
Penetration	793	5.8	0.4	1,789	13.2	0.7
Physical force only	410	3.0	0.3	937	6.9	0.5
Completed	174	1.3	0.2	423	3.1	0.4
Attempted	265	1.9	0.3	686	5.1	0.4
Incapacitation only	384	2.8	0.3	944	6.9	0.5
Both physical force and incapacitation	77	0.6	0.1	277	2.0	0.3
				·		
Sexual Touching	1,858	13.7	0.7	3,268	24.1	0.9
Physical force only	1,314	9.7	0.6	2,393	17.6	0.8
Incapacitation only	578	4.3	0.3	1,146	8.4	0.5
Both physical force and incapacitation	155	1.1	0.2	258	1.9	0.3

Table 3.1b. Percent of Graduate Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetrationor Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs.Since Entering College and Enrollment Status1

Survey Item	Current	School	Year	Since En	tering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	249	4.2	0.4	561	9.5	0.6
Penetration	87	1.5	0.3	244	4.1	0.5
Physical force only	43	0.7	0.2	111	1.9	0.4
Completed	36	0.6	0.2	86	1.5	0.3
Attempted	16	0.3	0.1	50	0.8	0.2
Incapacitation only	38	0.6	0.2	123	2.1	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	s	S	S	s	S
				·		
Sexual Touching	194	3.3	0.4	415	7.0	0.6
Physical force only	128	2.2	0.3	280	4.7	0.5
Incapacitation only	76	1.3	0.2	159	2.7	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	10	0.2	0.1	35	0.6	0.2

Table 3.1c. Percent of Undergraduate Male Students Experiencing NonconsensualPenetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, CurrentYear vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item	Current	t School	Year	Since En	tering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	457	3.5	0.5	902	6.8	0.6
Penetration	114	0.9	0.2	339	2.6	0.4
Physical force only	43	0.3	0.1	97	0.7	0.2
Completed	43	0.3	0.1	56	0.4	0.1
Attempted	S	s	S	68	0.5	0.2
Incapacitation only	81	0.6	0.2	252	1.9	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	S	s	S	24	0.2	0.1
	''				•	
Sexual Touching	401	3.0	0.5	679	5.1	0.5
Physical force only	226	1.7	0.3	466	3.5	0.5
Incapacitation only	236	1.8	0.3	316	2.4	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	36	0.3	0.1	36	0.3	0.1

Table 3.1d. Percent of Graduate Male Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item	Current	t School	Year	Since En	tering C	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	177	2.2	0.3	351	4.4	0.6
Penetration	43	0.5	0.2	124	1.5	0.4
Physical force only	S	S	S	42	0.5	0.2
Completed	S	S	S	S	S	S
Attempted	S	S	S	33	0.4	0.2
Incapacitation only	26	0.3	0.1	82	1.0	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	156	2.0	0.3	261	3.3	0.5
Physical force only	95	1.2	0.3	159	2.0	0.4
Incapacitation only	65	0.8	0.2	116	1.4	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.1e. Percent of Undergraduate Students of Other Gender ExperiencingNonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation byTactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status1

Survey Item	Curren	t School	Year	Since En	tering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	20	11.1	5.5	32	17.8	7.1
Penetration	S	S	S	23	12.9	6.2
Physical force only	S	S	S	S	S	S
Completed	-	-	- -	S	S	S
Attempted	S	S	S	S	S	S
Incapacitation only	S	S	S	17	9.6	5.7
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	, , , –	S	S	S
Sexual Touching	20	11.1	5.5	26	14.4	6.7
Physical force only	20	11.1	5.5	26	14.4	6.7
Incapacitation only	S	s	S	S	S	S
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	S	S	S

Table 3.1f. Percent of Graduate Students of Other Gender Experiencing NonconsensualPenetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, CurrentYear vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item	Curren	t School	Year	Since En	tering Co	ollege
Response	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
Total Involving physical force or incapacitation	20	18.3	8.6	24	21.9	9.2
		-				
Penetration	S	S	S	S	S	S
Physical force only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Completed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Attempted	-	-	-	-	-	-
Incapacitation only	S	S	S	S	S	S
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	20	18.3	8.6	24	21.9	9.2
Physical force only	S	S	S	11	10.5	5.7
Incapacitation only	S	S	S	S	S	S
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,525)	Profe	uate or ssional .,441)	Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,140)			
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Sexual orientation												
Heterosexual	13.6	0.4	29.5	0.9	9.1	0.6	5.7	0.6	3.5	0.5		
Non-Heterosexual	22.5	1.5	35.5	3.3	14.1	2.6	18.3	3.3	11.5	2.7		
Ethnicity												
Hispanic	16.1	2.0	32.1	5.1	8.1	2.8	9.4	3.3	10.3	3.0		
Not Hispanic	14.5	0.4	30.1	0.9	9.5	0.6	6.7	0.6	3.9	0.6		
Race												
American Indian or Alaska Native	24.9	4.4	38.4	7.1	s	S	-	-	39.2	13.7		
Asian	9.7	0.8	21.9	1.9	5.7	1.2	5.4	1.3	2.9	0.8		
Black or African American	14.9	1.8	26.2	3.8	6.8	3.2	8.5	3.6	10.3	4.3		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	12.0	5.3	30.4	14.6	-	-	-	-	-	-		
White	16.7	0.5	33.8	1.0	11.4	0.8	7.1	0.7	4.9	0.7		
Disability					1				1			
Yes	23.8	2.4	43.6	4.1	14.4	4.9	4.9	2.3	19.7	9.9		
No	14.3	0.4	29.8	0.9	9.3	0.7	6.9	0.6	4.1	0.6		
Marital status	1			•		•			1			
Never married	7.9	0.5	-	-	10.9	0.7	-	-	5.4	0.8		
Not married but living with a partner	7.5	1.7	-	-	8.9	2.0	-	- - - -	6.2	2.2		
Married	1.5	0.5	-	-	4.0	1.4	-	-	S	S		
Divorced or separated	14.9	5.1	-	-	12.5	6.0	-	-	S	S		
Other	6.5	4.1	-	-	s	S	-	-	S	S		

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	Т	otal		raduate ,525)	Profes	ate or ssional ,441)		raduate ,553)	Profes	ate or sional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Year in School and Tir	ning of	Incidents								
Undergraduate										
Current year										
Freshman	12.5	1.1	21.9	1.9	-	-	4.1	1.0	-	-
Sophomore	12.4	1.0	18.5	1.4	-	-	5.9	1.2	-	-
Junior	10.0	0.8	17.7	1.3	-	-	1.4	0.4	-	-
Senior	8.6	0.7	14.2	1.2	-	-	3.1	0.7	-	-
Since entering co	llege									
Freshman	12.7	1.1	22.2	1.9	-	-	4.1	1.0	-	-
Sophomore	16.7	1.2	25.1	1.5	-	-	8.0	1.4	-	-
Junior	18.4	1.1	31.5	1.9	-	-	4.2	0.8	-	-
Senior	21.5	1.0	34.3	1.5	-	-	8.5	1.1	-	-

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	1	「otal		raduate ,525)	Profes	uate or ssional ,441)		raduate ,553)	Profe	uate or ssional .,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Graduate/Professional										
Current year										
1st year	4.9	0.6	-	-	5.9	0.9	-	-	4.1	0.8
2nd year	3.1	0.5	-	-	4.4	0.8	-	-	1.4	0.7
3rd year	1.3	0.5	-	- -	2.0	0.9	-	-	s	s
4th year	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	s
5th year	2.9	1.1	-	-	5.3	2.2	-	-	S	s
6th year or higher	s	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	s	s
Since entering college										
1st year	8.0	0.8	-	-	10.7	1.1	-	-	5.8	1.0
2nd year	6.7	0.7	-	-	9.8	1.2	-	-	3.7	1.0
3rd year	4.7	1.0	-	-	5.2	1.6	-	-	4.6	1.4
4th year	4.3	1.1	-	-	7.8	2.4	-	-	S	S
5th year	6.1	1.5	-	-	10.8	2.7	-	-	s	S
6th year or higher	7.1	1.8	-	-	9.3	2.8	-	-	5.0	2.3

¹ Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

				Rap	e			Sexual Battery			
Survey Item	т	otal				uate or ssional Und		raduate		Graduate or Professional	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	23.3	0.7	12.8	0.6	3.8	0.5	23.2	1.0	6.6	0.6	
Non-Heterosexual	29.2	2.6	17.5	2.5	7.4	2.2	28.7	3.3	11.1	2.6	
Ethnicity											
Hispanic	23.4	3.4	12.0	2.6	2.9	1.8	30.3	5.1	5.2	2.3	
Not Hispanic	23.9	0.7	13.2	0.7	4.2	0.5	23.7	0.9	7.1	0.6	
Race											
American Indian or Alaska Native	30.5	5.5	21.3	6.3	-	-	33.5	7.4	S	S	
Asian	16.2	1.5	7.4	1.1	2.5	0.8	18.7	1.9	3.8	1.0	
Black or African American	20.0	2.9	10.7	2.6	-	-	21.3	3.9	6.8	3.2	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	25.4	11.5	S	S	-	-	23.8	13.1	-	-	
White	27.5	0.7	14.7	0.8	5.5	0.6	26.7	1.1	8.3	0.8	
Disability											
Yes	35.5	3.7	27.9	4.5	6.8	3.1	29.4	4.0	11.2	4.5	
No	23.5	0.7	12.6	0.7	4.0	0.5	23.9	1.0	6.9	0.6	
Marital status											
Never married	10.9	0.7	-	-	4.5	0.6	-	-	8.4	0.7	
Not married but living with a partner	8.9	2.0	-	-	5.4	1.7	-	-	4.8	1.2	
Married	4.0	1.4	-	-	1.9	0.8	-	-	3.0	1.3	
Divorced or separated	12.5	6.0	-	-	s	S	-	-	s	S	
Other	S	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	s	S	

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Rap	e			Sexual B	attery	
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		iate or ssional	Underg	raduate		uate or ssional
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Year in School and Ti	ming of	Incidents								
Undergraduate										
Current year										
Freshman	21.9	1.9	8.1	1.2	-	-	18.0	1.8	-	-
Sophomore	18.5	1.4	6.5	0.9	-	-	14.8	1.4	-	-
Junior	17.7	1.3	5.6	0.8	-	-	14.7	1.3	-	-
Senior	14.2	1.2	4.9	0.7	-	-	11.4	1.2	-	-
Since entering co	ollege									
Freshman	22.2	1.9	8.3	1.3	-	-	18.2	1.8	-	-
Sophomore	25.1	1.5	11.5	1.0	-	-	20.0	1.6	-	-
Junior	31.5	1.9	13.5	1.2	-	-	24.7	1.8	-	-
Senior	34.3	1.5	15.0	1.3	-	-	27.4	1.6	-	-
Graduate/Profess	ional									
Current year										
1st year	5.9	0.9	-	-	2.3	0.6	-	-	4.3	0.7
2nd year	4.4	0.8	-	-	1.3	0.5	-	-	3.7	0.8
3rd year	2.0	0.9	-	-	s	S	-	-	1.7	0.9
4th year	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5th year	5.3	2.2	-	-	s	S	-	-	5.3	2.2
6th year	S	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	-	-

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

				Rape				Sexual Battery			
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		ate or sional	Underg	raduate		ate or ssional	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Since entering college											
1st year	10.7	1.1	-	-	4.9	0.8	-	-	7.8	1.0	
2nd year	9.8	1.2	-	- -	4.2	1.0	-	i - -	7.4	1.0	
3rd year	5.2	1.6	-	-	2.9	1.2	-	- -	3.3	1.2	
4th year	7.8	2.4	-	- -	2.6	1.2	-	- -	5.2	1.9	
5th year	10.8	2.7	-	- -	3.2	1.7	-	-	9.9	2.7	
6th year	9.3	2.8	-	- -	4.2	1.9	-	- -	6.2	2.5	

¹Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 3.4a. Number of Times Females Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Enrollment Status for the Current year and Since Entering College^{1, 2}

Survey Item	Total			raduate ,525)	Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Current school year							
Number of times						_	
0 times	93.6	0.3	94.2	0.4	98.5	0.3	
1 time	3.3	0.2	4.0	0.4	1.0	0.3	
2 times	1.5	0.1	1.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	
3 times	0.8	0.1	0.5	0.1	S	S	
4 or more times	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.1	S	S	
Since entering college			'				
Number of times							
0 times	88.6	0.4	86.8	0.7	95.9	0.5	
1 time	4.2	0.2	6.8	0.5	2.7	0.4	
2 times	3.0	0.2	3.6	0.3	0.6	0.2	
3 times	1.8	0.2	1.6	0.2	0.5	0.2	
4 or more times	2.4	0.2	1.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force or c) by incapacitation.

Table 3.4b. Number of Times Students Reported Nonconsensual Sexual Touching by Physical Force or Incapacitation by Current School Year or Since Entering Current College by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,525)	Profes	iate or ssional ,441)		raduate ,553)	Profes	ate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Current school year										
Number of times										
0 times	93.6	0.3	86.5	0.7	96.7	0.4	97.0	0.5	98.0	0.3
1 time	3.3	0.2	6.7	0.5	2.1	0.3	1.5	0.4	1.2	0.3
2 times	1.5	0.1	3.4	0.3	0.8	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.6	0.2
3 times	0.8	0.1	1.8	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.2	s	S
4 or more times	0.8	0.1	1.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.2	s	S
Since entering college										
Number of times										
0 times	88.6	0.4	76.1	0.9	93.0	0.6	94.9	0.5	96.7	0.5
1 time	4.2	0.2	8.3	0.5	3.4	0.4	2.0	0.4	1.6	0.4
2 times	3.0	0.2	6.2	0.5	1.9	0.4	1.2	0.3	1.1	0.3
3 times	1.8	0.2	4.1	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.9	0.3	s	S
4 or more times	2.4	0.2	5.4	0.4	0.9	0.3	1.1	0.3	0.4	0.2

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force or b) by incapacitation.

Table 3.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Forceor Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	By F	orce	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Time - occurred during academic break					
No incidents occurred during academic break	79.5	2.4	79.1	2.5	
Some incidents occurred during academic break	11.2	2.1	8.9	2.1	
All incidents occurred during academic break	9.4	2.0	12.0	2.0	
Location					
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?					
Yes	55.3	3.4	47.2	3.9	
No	44.7	3.4	52.8	3.9	
On university property				-	
University residence hall/dorm	47.2	4.5	33.1	5.2	
Fraternity or Sorority house	35.7	4.9	51.5	6.3	
Other space used by single-sex org	1.9	1.1	S	S	
Other residential housing	21.0	3.9	22.8	4.5	
Non-residential building	13.7	3.7	5.7	2.3	
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	15.4	4.0	3.8	1.8	
Not on university property					
Private residence	78.1	4.2	79.6	3.3	
Fraternity or Sorority house	21.5	3.9	17.0	3.7	
Other space used by single-sex org	S	S	S	S	
Restaurant, bar, or club	9.9	3.0	11.6	3.7	
Other social venue	6.8	2.6	4.2	2.2	
Outdoor or recreational space	3.1	1.6	3.3	2.0	
Some other place	9.1	2.9	6.8	2.4	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.5b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	By F	orce	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Time - occurred during academic break					
No incidents occurred during academic break	81.4	2.5	80.7	3.1	
Some incidents occurred during academic break	14.3	2.0	10.4	2.0	
All incidents occurred during academic break	4.3	0.9	8.8	2.5	
Location					
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?					
Yes	52.3	2.3	40.3	3.5	
No	47.7	2.3	59.7	3.5	
On university property					
University residence hall/dorm	28.1	2.8	26.1	5.1	
Fraternity or Sorority house	51.6	3.1	56.0	6.2	
Other space used by single-sex org	1.4	0.7	S	S	
Other residential housing	14.9	2.5	19.5	4.2	
Non-residential building	16.3	2.9	8.9	2.6	
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	22.0	3.3	8.9	2.7	
Not on university property			·		
Private residence	51.7	3.3	59.6	4.0	
Fraternity or Sorority house	17.0	2.3	21.0	3.7	
Other space used by single-sex org	S	S	2.1	1.2	
Restaurant, bar, or club	41.2	3.2	30.1	4.2	
Other social venue	8.0	1.7	7.3	2.5	
Outdoor or recreational space	6.9	1.8	3.3	1.6	
Some other place	7.2	1.6	8.6	2.2	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force. ³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.5c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	By I	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Time - occurred during academic break					
No incidents occurred during academic break	79.1	5.2	66.3	7.4	
Some incidents occurred during academic break	10.2	3.9	19.6	6.5	
All incidents occurred during academic break	10.7	4.3	14.1	5.2	
Location	· · ·		'		
Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?					
Yes	34.1	5.5	27.6	7.2	
No	65.9	5.5	72.4	7.2	
On university property					
University residence hall/dorm	38.4	10.9	43.1	16.4	
Fraternity or Sorority house	S	S	18.6	10.3	
Other space used by single-sex org	-	-	S	S	
Other residential housing	S	S	S	S	
Non-residential building	7.4	3.9	29.9	13.8	
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	36.5	11.0	49.9	15.8	
Not on university property	· · ·		'		
Private residence	61.3	8.3	76.7	8.6	
Fraternity or Sorority house	11.6	5.9	S	S	
Other space used by single-sex org	S	S	-	-	
Restaurant, bar, or club	31.9	7.1	13.9	6.3	
Other social venue	7.8	4.6	26.8	10.0	
Outdoor or recreational space	6.4	3.6	S	S	
Some other place	14.1	6.4	S	S	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force. ³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics					
Gender					
Female	S	S	S	S	
Male	98.9	1.0	99.6	0.4	
Other gender identity	S	S	-	-	
Number of offenders					
1 offender	64.9	3.4	72.3	2.9	
2 offenders	20.2	3.1	16.6	2.7	
3 or more offenders	14.9	2.6	11.0	2.4	
University affiliation					
Student	81.4	2.9	83.2	2.6	
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	S	S	S	S	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	S	S	-	-	
The person was not affiliated with university	8.9	1.7	1.5	0.8	
Don't know association with university	23.7	2.7	18.3	2.4	
Relationship to victim	-		1		
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	24.3	2.5	20.4	3.5	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	17.5	2.3	10.6	2.1	
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	S	S	1.8	0.9	
Friend or acquaintance	56.2	3.2	51.0	4.0	
Stranger	35.8	3.2	33.6	3.7	
Other	1.7	0.9	3.2	1.6	
Don't Know	1.9	1.1	2.8	1.2	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.6b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics					
Gender					
Female	2.8	0.8	S	S	
Male	99.5	0.3	99.6	0.3	
Other gender identity	s	S	-	-	
Number of offenders	-		1		
1 offender	60.7	2.1	64.5	4.3	
2 offenders	20.9	1.8	22.1	3.0	
3 or more offenders	18.4	1.8	13.5	2.5	
University affiliation	-		1		
Student	80.0	1.7	85.5	2.2	
Faculty or instructor	S	S	S	S	
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	1.0	0.4	-	-	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	1.4	0.5	S	S	
The person was not affiliated with university	17.7	1.7	7.1	1.7	
Don't know association with university	15.2	1.8	10.6	2.0	
Relationship to victim	-		1		
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	8.7	1.2	7.4	1.6	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	6.9	1.3	8.3	1.9	
Teacher or advisor	-	-	S	S	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	1.7	0.5	S	S	
Friend or acquaintance	39.6	2.3	56.5	3.6	
Stranger	60.2	2.0	39.9	3.3	
Other	1.7	0.7	2.8	1.0	
Don't Know	3.4	0.9	S	S	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.6c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Offender characteristics					
Gender					
Female	64.5	5.9	88.3	4.6	
Male	43.8	6.9	26.4	6.9	
Other gender identity	S	S	-	-	
Number of offenders			·		
1 offender	69.2	5.9	71.0	7.4	
2 offenders	17.4	5.1	19.4	6.0	
3 or more offenders	13.4	4.6	9.6	4.9	
University affiliation			1		
Student	73.1	5.8	85.5	5.1	
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	
Coach or trainer	-	-	S	S	
Other staff or administrator	S	S	-	-	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	_	-	-	
The person was not affiliated with university	19.1	5.3	9.2	4.3	
Don't know association with university	10.5	3.4	9.5	4.0	
Relationship to victim			1		
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	9.3	3.3	16.2	4.9	
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	9.1	3.4	S	s	
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	S	S	
Friend or acquaintance	45.3	6.7	54.1	7.9	
Stranger	43.5	5.8	29.8	7.3	
Other	s	S	S	s	
Don't Know	4.6	2.3	6.4	3.5	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.7a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Involvement of Substances					
Offender drinking alcohol					
Yes	68.8	3.0	81.6	2.9	
No	16.9	2.5	8.6	2.3	
Don't know	14.4	2.1	9.8	2.3	
Offender using drugs				<u> </u>	
Yes	8.5	1.7	5.0	1.8	
No	43.5	3.2	44.4	4.6	
Don't know	48.0	3.4	50.6	4.3	
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol	1	·			
Yes	72.1	2.8	96.0	1.5	
No	27.9	2.8	4.0	1.5	
Victim voluntarily using drugs	I			•	
Yes	8.1	1.6	7.1	2.1	
No	91.9	1.6	92.9	2.1	
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kno	owledge or consent				
Yes, I am certain	2.6	1.1	S	S	
I suspect, but I am not certain	13.7	2.3	13.1	2.5	
No	76.6	3.1	70.4	3.3	
Don't know	7.1	1.6	15.0	2.5	
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	nt				
Yes	28.9	4.0	29.8	4.1	
No	62.9	3.7	39.9	4.4	
Not sure	8.2	2.1	30.2	3.8	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.7b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Involvement of Substances					
Offender drinking alcohol					
Yes	69.4	2.4	85.2	2.6	
No	11.9	1.6	5.5	1.7	
Don't know	18.7	2.2	9.3	1.9	
Offender using drugs	I				
Yes	3.8	1.2	5.0	1.3	
No	32.0	2.1	41.3	3.4	
Don't know	64.2	2.3	53.8	3.3	
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol		·			
Yes	66.6	2.1	91.5	2.4	
No	33.4	2.1	8.5	2.4	
Victim voluntarily using drugs					
Yes	3.8	0.8	3.4	1.1	
No	96.2	0.8	96.6	1.1	
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kn	owledge or consent				
Yes, I am certain	1.8	0.5	1.9	0.9	
I suspect, but I am not certain	2.5	0.8	3.9	1.5	
No	90.5	1.7	85.0	2.8	
Don't know	5.2	1.2	9.2	2.2	
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	ent				
Yes	6.2	1.5	17.4	3.3	
No	88.1	2.1	72.7	3.7	
Not sure	5.6	1.6	9.9	2.3	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.7c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Involvement of Substances					
Offender drinking alcohol					
Yes	62.9	6.4	70.3	8.9	
No	20.7	5.3	16.5	6.4	
Don't know	16.4	4.8	13.2	6.5	
Offender using drugs	I		1		
Yes	3.7	2.2	S	S	
No	37.2	5.1	55.9	8.7	
Don't know	59.1	5.4	41.5	8.7	
Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol			1		
Yes	56.9	6.2	86.3	4.9	
No	43.1	6.2	13.7	4.9	
Victim voluntarily using drugs			1		
Yes	4.8	2.1	15.0	6.9	
No	95.2	2.1	85.0	6.9	
Victim given alcohol or drugs without kn	owledge or consent		1		
Yes, I am certain	-	-	S	S	
I suspect, but I am not certain	S	S	S	S	
No	98.0	1.4	93.8	3.5	
Don't know	S	S	S	S	
Victim passed out for all or part of incide	ent				
Yes	S	S	16.6	6.7	
No	94.6	3.1	68.8	8.6	
Not sure	S	S	14.6	6.1	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.8a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incap	acitation
<i>Survey Item</i> Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
Physical				
Physical injuries	13.1	2.9	6.0	2.0
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	70.2	10.1	53.2	21.2
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	53.9	10.5	89.9	10.1
Other injuries	15.8	8.5	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	2.6	1.1	3.8	1.6
Become pregnant from the experience	S	S	-	-
None of the above	83.9	3.0	89.5	2.4
Emotional		1		1
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	51.5	3.2	45.5	3.9
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	44.4	3.6	21.5	2.7
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	38.8	3.3	29.1	3.1
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	38.5	3.6	22.0	3.3
Feeling numb or detached	52.8	3.0	40.8	4.0
Headaches or stomach aches	20.3	3.0	9.6	2.1
Eating problems or disorders	24.3	3.3	12.0	2.4
Increased drug or alcohol use	22.3	2.9	25.0	4.1
None of the above	21.6	2.5	32.0	3.2

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.8b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incap	acitation
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
Physical				
Physical injuries	3.4	0.9	S	s
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	79.6	11.2	-	-
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	17.8	10.0	-	-
Other injuries	s	S	S	S
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	S	S	S	S
Become pregnant from the experience	S	S	-	-
None of the above	94.5	1.2	98.1	0.9
Emotional				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	20.6	1 - 1	21.6	3.2
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	26.7	2.2	14.2	2.5
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	11.3	1.6	12.5	2.6
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	12.5	1.6	5.7	1.9
Feeling numb or detached	16.9	2.0	18.7	3.0
Headaches or stomach aches	5.1	1.1	6.3	1.8
Eating problems or disorders	7.1	1.6	3.2	1.2
Increased drug or alcohol use	7.0	1.5	4.9	1.8
None of the above	53.1	2.8	57.1	3.6

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.8c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving PhysicalForce or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

	Ву	Force	By Incap	acitation
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
Physical				
Physical injuries	-	-	-	-
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	-	-	-	-
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	-	-	-	-
Other injuries	-	-	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	S	S	-	-
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-
None of the above	98.1	1.3	97.8	2.1
Emotional				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	22.3	5.7	22.9	6.3
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	8.2	3.4	8.6	4.4
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	12.5	4.1	6.9	3.7
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	10.6	4.2	5.5	3.1
Feeling numb or detached	7.5	3.6	7.4	4.7
Headaches or stomach aches	-	-	S	S
Eating problems or disorders	-	-	s	s
Increased drug or alcohol use	S	S	10.8	6.4
None of the above	69.6	6.5	66.6	7.6

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

Table 3.9a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

		Pen	etration		Sexual Touching			
	By Force		By Incapacitation		By Force		By Incapacitation	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program								
Reported to a Program								
Yes	23.2	3.0	15.9	2.6	8.2	1.6	5.8	1.6
No	76.8	3.0	84.1	2.6	91.8	1.6	94.2	1.6
Did not contact a Program Did not know where to go or who to tell Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too	15.3 46.3	3.1 4.2	9.5 39.0	2.5 4.6	9.2 14.0		8.4 21.4	2.0 4.2
emotionally difficult								
I did not think anyone would believe me	19.7	3.5	16.3	3.5	4.8	0.9	3.1	1.6
I did not think it was serious enough to report	63.6	4.4	66.0	3.8	76.5	2.1	73.7	3.3
I did not want the person to get into trouble	23.0	3.0	26.8	3.7	10.3	1.6	12.9	2.4
I feared negative social consequences	30.3	3.7	27.8	4.5	13.0	1.8	13.2	2.8
I did not think anything would be done	37.4	3.6	30.3	3.9	25.6	2.4	19.0	3.2
I feared it would not be kept confidential	23.0	3.6	17.8	4.0	6.3	1.4	6.2	2.2
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	6.9	2.3	2.9	1.4	3.0	0.9	2.5	1.1
Incident did not occur while attending school	21.4	3.0	12.8	2.3	12.7	1.5	11.8	2.6
Other Reason	10.8	2.5	12.8	2.3	15.3	2.0	15.1	2.9

		Per	netration		Sexual Touching				
	Ву	By Force By Incapacitation		Ву	Force	By Incapacitation			
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report to others									
Friend	74.1	3.1	78.3	3.4	76.7	2.0	77.6	2.7	
Family member	24.6	3.1	16.8	2.6	11.6	1.8	9.6	1.8	
Faculty	5.0	1.4	3.1	1.2	2.1	0.7	-	-	
Someone else	8.1	1.7	7.2	1.8	5.4	0.9	3.7	1.3	
I didn't tell anyone else	19.9	3.0	16.8	2.8	20.9	2.0	19.9	2.8	

¹ Per 100 victims.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 3.9b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation Reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item	Ву	Force	By Incapacitation		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program					
Reported to a program					
Inapplicable	-	-	-	-	
No	98.0	1.0	98.7	1.2	
Yes	2.0	1.0	S	S	
Did not contact a program					
Did not know where to go or who to tell	11.3	4.4	8.1	4.2	
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	15.6	4.9	6.9	3.8	
I did not think anyone would believe me	4.3	2.4	7.5	4.1	
I did not think anything would be done	15.3	4.5	10.1	4.6	
I did not think it was serious enough to report	76.8	4.7	62.1	8.8	
I did not want the person to get into trouble	13.2	3.9	17.6	5.8	
I feared it would not be kept confidential	S	S	S	S	
I feared negative social consequences	9.8	4.0	9.4	4.5	
Incident did not occur while attending school	5.9	2.8	S	S	
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	S	S	S	S	
Other Reason	26.5	5.6	28.3	7.4	
Report to others					
Friend	61.5	5.8	69.4	7.1	
Family member	12.4	4.3	8.1	3.8	
Faculty	S	S	-	-	
Someone else	S	S	S	s	
l didn't tell anyone else	36.5	5.1	30.9	7.4	

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force. ³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

		Prevention and Center (SAPAC)		e Hall or Housing taff	Office of the Dean of Students		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program	41.5	4.2	11.7	3.4	10.0	2.3	
When contacted			'		'		
Fall of 2014 - present	70.1	6.3	23.5	11.5	68.1	12.8	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	12.5	4.2	58.4	14.5	20.2	11.0	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	13.7	4.7	S	S	-	-	
Prior to Fall 2012	3.7	2.0	S	S	S	S	
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014 How useful							
Not at all	S	S	S	S	S	S	
A little	26.0	7.4	S	S	34.8	21.4	
Somewhat	9.0	4.5	-	-	S	S	
Very	15.2	6.7	S	S	S	S	
Extremely	48.7	7.6	-	-	S	S	
Pressure from university on whether to proc	ceed		'		'		
Yes	20.4	7.0	S	S	60.0	19.7	
To proceed	57.7	27.0	S	S	41.1	29.8	
Not to proceed	42.3	27.0	-	-	S	S	
No	79.6	7.0	90.3	11.3	40.0	19.7	

		Sexual Assault Prevention and Awareness Center (SAPAC)		Hall or Housing aff	Office of the Dean of Students	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	41.5	4.2	11.7	3.4	10.0	2.3
Program showed respect towards victim						
Excellent	61.5	7.7	-	-	49.7	20.8
Very good	25.1	7.2	S	S	S	S
Good	11.4	5.4	S	S	S	S
Fair	S	S	-	-	S	S
Poor	S	S	S	S	S	S
Helped to understand options						
Excellent	45.2	9.3	-	-	49.7	20.8
Very good	23.5	8.8	S	S	S	S
Good	25.7	7.2	-	-	S	S
Fair	S	S	S	S	S	S
Poor	8.2	4.6	S	S	S	S

		utional Equity or Coordinator		Office of Student Conflict Resolution		d Psychological es (CAPS)
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	12.3	3.6	10.7	2.8	79.1	3.5
When contacted	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·				
Fall of 2014 - present	57.7	18.5	49.1	14.3	60.2	5.3
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	27.0	14.3	38.4	15.1	22.8	4.8
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	13.0	3.8
Prior to Fall 2012	S	S	S	S	6.6	3.4
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014 How useful		s	51 1	29.7	9.0	3.7
Not at all	S	S	51.1	29.7	9.0	3.7
A little	S	S	S	S	25.7	6.7
Somewhat	S	S	S	S	32.9	6.7
Very	S	S	-	-	18.0	5.9
Extremely	S	S	-	-	14.3	5.6
Pressure from university on whether to pro	ceed					
Yes	45.0	25.2	S	S	7.2	3.2
To proceed	S	S	-	-	70.4	30.9
Not to proceed	S	S	S	S	S	S
No	55.0	25.2	50.3	29.2	92.8	3.2

		utional Equity or oordinator		udent Conflict plution	Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS)	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	12.3	3.6	10.7	2.8	79.1	3.5
Program showed respect towards victim		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Excellent	S	S	-	-	39.1	7.8
Very good	-	-	S	S	22.7	6.5
Good	S	S	S	S	18.7	5.3
Fair	33.0	13.9	S	S	15.4	4.2
Poor	S	S	S	S	S	S
Helped to understand options		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Excellent	S	S	-	-	26.5	7.4
Very good	S	S	-	-	12.5	4.2
Good	S	S	73.1	22.0	11.3	4.2
Fair	S	S	S	S	35.1	7.9
Poor	33.5	20.0	S	S	14.6	5.8

	Office of t	he Ombuds	SafeHou	se Center		Michigan Police rtment
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	2.3	1.7	3.2	1.5	9.3	2.7
When contacted	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Fall of 2014 - present	-	-	S	S	S	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	60.1	22.2
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	S	S	-	-
Prior to Fall 2012	-	-	-	-	S	s
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014		•				
How useful						
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	S	S	S	S
Very	-	-	-	-	S	S
Extremely	_	-	-	-	-	-
Pressure from university on whether to proce	ed	-				
Yes	-	-	-	-	S	S
To proceed	-	-	-	-	S	S
Not to proceed	-	-	-	-	-	-
No	-	-	S	S	S	S

	Office of th	ne Ombuds	SafeHou	se Center	University of Michigan Polic Department		
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Report of incident to a Program	2.3	1.7	3.2	1.5	9.3	2.7	
Program showed respect towards victim							
Excellent	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Very good	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Good	-	-	-	-	S	S	
Fair	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Helped to understand options			'		,		
Excellent	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Very good	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Good	-	-	S	S	S	S	
Fair	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Poor	-	-	-	-	-	-	

	Ann Arbor Po	lice Department
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	10.6	2.9
When contacted		
Fall of 2014 - present	72.7	20.5
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-
Prior to Fall 2012	S	S
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014		
How useful		
Not at all	-	-
A little	S	S
Somewhat	S	S
Very	S	S
Extremely	-	-
Pressure from university on whether to proceed	·	
Yes	100.0	0.0
To proceed	63.4	38.8
Not to proceed	S	S
No	-	-

	Ann Arbor Po	lice Department
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	10.6	2.9
Program showed respect towards victim		
Excellent	S	S
Very good	-	-
Good	S	S
Fair	S	S
Poor	-	-
Helped to understand options		
Excellent	S	S
Very good	S	S
Good	S	S
Fair	S	S
Poor	-	-

¹ Per 100 victims.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

	school yearcion0.10.0netration0.10.0xual touchingssnce of affirmative consent4.30.2netration1.50.1			Female (n	=3,966)	Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item		Total	Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Profes	Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		raduate ,553)	Graduate or Professional (n=1,140)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Current school year				,						
Coercion	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	S	S	S	S	S	S
Penetration	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	S	S	S	S	S	S
Sexual touching	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Absence of affirmative consent	4.3	0.2	8.9	0.6	3.5	0.5	1.8	0.3	0.7	0.3
Penetration	1.5	0.1	2.9	0.3	1.8	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.1
Sexual touching	3.1	0.2	6.6	0.5	2.5	0.4	1.3	0.3	0.5	0.2
Since entering college									1	
Coercion	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.1	S	S	s	S
Penetration	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.1	S	S	S	S	S	S
Sexual touching	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	S	S	S	S	S	S
Absence of affirmative consent	8.1	0.3	17.2	0.8	7.0	0.6	3.4	0.4	1.2	0.3
Penetration	3.1	0.2	6.4	0.5	3.1	0.5	1.1	0.3	0.7	0.2
Sexual touching	6.2	0.3	13.0	0.7	5.2	0.5	2.6	0.4	0.9	0.3

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

	TGQN (n=53)									
Survey Item		graduate =32)		Professional =21)						
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr						
Current school year										
Coercion	-	-	S	S						
Penetration	-	-	S	S						
Sexual touching	-	-	-	-						
Absence of affirmative consent	10.1	5.7	18.4	8.7						
Penetration	S	S	S	S						
Sexual touching	S	S	18.4	8.7						
Since entering college										
Coercion	S	S	S	S						
Penetration	S	S	S	S						
Sexual touching	-	-	S	S						
Absence of affirmative consent	13.4	6.9	18.4	8.7						
Penetration	S	S	S	S						
Sexual touching	10.1	5.7	18.4	8.7						

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or SexualTouching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, VictimCharacteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,525)		ate or ssional ,441)		raduate ,553)	Profe	iate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Current school year										
Coercion										
Penetration										
0 times	99.9	0.0	99.9	0.0	100	0.0	99.9	0.1	99.8	0.1
1 time	0.1	0.0	S	S	-	-	S	S	s	s
2 times	0.0	0.0	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 times	-	-	-	- - -	-	-	-	- -	-	-
4 or more times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching										
0 times	100	0.0	100	0.0	100	0.0	99.9	0.0	100	0.0
1 time	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
2 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Without affirmative con	sent									
Penetration										
0 times	98.5	0.1	97.1	0.3	98.2	0.3	99.4	0.2	99.7	0.1
1 time	0.9	0.1	1.7	0.3	1.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.1
2 times	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	-	-
3 times	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.1	S	S	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.1	0.0	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or SexualTouching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, VictimCharacteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	Т	otal		raduate ,525)	Profes	ate or ssional ,441)		raduate ,553)	Profes	ate or sional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Without affirmative con	sent									
Sexual Touching										
0 times	96.9	0.2	93.4	0.5	97.5	0.4	98.7	0.3	99.5	0.2
1 time	1.9	0.2	4.0	0.4	1.7	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.2
2 times	0.8	0.1	1.7	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	-	-
3 times	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.1	S	s	-	-
4 or more times	0.2	0.1	S	S	S	S	0.2	0.2	-	-
Since entering college										
Coercion										
Penetration										
0 times	99.8	0.0	99.6	0.1	99.9	0.1	99.9	0.1	99.8	0.1
1 time	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	S	S	S	S	S	S
2 times	0.0	0.0	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 times	0.0	0.0	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching					1				1	
0 times	99.9	0.0	99.9	0.1	99.9	0.1	99.9	0.0	100	0.0
1 time	0.1	0.0	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
3 times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,525)	Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profes	iate or ssional ,140)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Without affirmative con	sent											
Penetration												
0 times	96.9	0.2	93.6	0.5	96.9	0.5	98.9	0.3	99.3	0.2		
1 time	1.6	0.1	3.1	0.4	1.6	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.5	0.2		
2 times	0.7	0.1	1.5	0.3	0.7	0.2	S	S	0.3	0.1		
3 times	0.5	0.1	1.1	0.2	0.4	0.1	S	S	-	-		
4 or more times	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.4	0.1	S	S	-	-		
Sexual Touching												
0 times	93.8	0.3	87.0	0.7	94.8	0.5	97.4	0.4	99.1	0.3		
1 time	2.9	0.2	5.7	0.5	3.1	0.4	1.4	0.3	0.6	0.2		
2 times	1.6	0.1	3.7	0.3	1.0	0.3	0.5	0.2	S	S		
3 times	0.8	0.1	1.8	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.2	S	S		
4 or more times	0.8	0.1	1.7	0.3	0.6	0.2	S	S	-	-		

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence ofAffirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		uate or ssional .,441)	Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional I,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	7.2	0.3	15.8	0.8	6.5	0.7	2.8	0.4	0.6	0.3
Non-Heterosexual	15.3	1.4	26.4	2.9	11.4	2.6	9.1	2.4	6.6	2.0
Ethnicity				_						
Hispanic	8.7	1.3	17.8	3.5	6.3	2.6	5.0	2.8	3.9	2.0
Not Hispanic	8.0	0.3	17.1	0.8	7.0	0.7	3.2	0.4	1.0	0.3
Race										
American Indian or Alaska Native	17.6	3.5	29.6	6.1	-	-	S	S	S	S
Asian	5.7	0.6	13.3	1.7	5.1	1.3	3.0	1.0	-	-
Black or African American	9.9	2.0	18.5	3.5	5.3	2.4	6.4	3.3	S	S
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	14.3	8.0	17.9	11.4	-	-	17.9	18.3	-	-
White	9.4	0.4	19.1	0.9	8.3	0.8	3.4	0.5	1.8	0.5
Disability						'				
Yes	17.0	2.6	27.3	4.7	8.3	3.6	8.6	4.2	16.7	8.7
No	7.8	0.3	16.8	0.8	6.9	0.7	3.2	0.4	0.9	0.3

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence ofAffirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	iate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Never married	4.3	0.4	-	-	8.1	0.8	-	-	1.3	0.4
Not married but living with a partner	5.3	1.1	-	-	7.9	1.8	-	-	2.7	1.4
Married	0.4	0.2	-	-	1.2	0.6	-	-	-	-
Divorced or separated	7.6	4.0	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	s
Other	4.0	2.2	-	-	6.6	3.6	S	s	-	-
Current year Freshman	6.0	0.7	11.9	1.4	_	-	0.8	0.4	-	-
	6.0	0.7	11 0	1.4	_	_	0.8	0.4	_	
Sophomore	6.3	0.6	10.6	1.0	-	-	1.9	0.7	-	-
Junior	5.1	0.6	8.7	1.0	-	-	1.1	0.5	-	-
Senior	4.9	0.5	7.4	0.8	-	-	2.3	0.6	-	-
Since entering college	1				1					
Freshman	6.1	0.7	12.0	1.4	-	-	0.8	0.4	-	-
Sophomore	9.1	0.8	15.3	1.4	-	-	2.7	0.8	-	-
Junior	10.4	0.9	17.3	1.6	-	-	2.9	0.8	-	-
Senior	12.1	0.9	19.3	1.4	-	-	4.6	0.8	-	-

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n:	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item		Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		uate or ssional I,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Graduate/Professional										
Current year										
1st	2.4	0.4	-	-	4.5	0.9	-	-	0.6	0.3
2nd	1.8	0.5	-	-	3.7	1.1	-	-	S	S
3rd	1.9	0.7	-	-	2.5	1.1	-	-	1.7	0.9
4th	1.0	0.5	-	-	1.7	0.9	-	-	S	S
5th	0.7	0.5	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
6th year or more	2.1	1.1	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S
Since entering college										
1st	3.7	0.5	-	-	7.0	1.0	-	-	1.0	0.4
2nd	2.4	0.5	-	-	5.1	1.1	-	-	S	S
3rd	4.9	1.1	-	-	9.2	2.3	-	-	2.6	1.1
4th	4.8	1.0	-	-	9.1	2.0	-	-	S	S
5th	3.0	1.1	-	-	7.4	2.7	-	-	-	-
6th year or more	4.8	1.7	-	-	7.7	3.0	-	-	S	S

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or SexualTouching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior andEnrollment Status^{1,2}

				Penetra	ation		Sexual Touching				
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		iate or ssional	Undergraduate			uate or ssional	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	13.0	0.6	5.8	0.5	2.6	0.5	12.0	0.7	5.0	0.6	
Non-Heterosexual	22.0	2.3	11.1	2.4	7.0	2.1	20.2	2.4	6.9	2.0	
Ethnicity										_	
Hispanic	13.6	2.3	6.3	2.1	4.0	2.1	13.3	3.3	s	s	
Not Hispanic	14.0	0.6	6.4	0.5	3.0	0.5	13.0	0.7	5.3	0.6	
Race			-								
American Indian or Alaska Native	22.2	4.8	11.4	5.2	-	-	21.5	5.9	-	-	
Asian	10.4	1.1	5.1	0.9	2.2	0.7	9.8	1.3	3.7	1.0	
Black or African American	14.3	2.8	7.1	2.3	S	S	13.4	3.2	4.0	2.1	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	14.9	9.5	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	
White	16.0	0.7	7.1	0.6	3.5	0.6	14.5	0.8	6.3	0.7	
Disability					1				1	-	
Yes	22.1	3.7	13.2	3.3	s	S	21.0	4.2	8.3	3.6	
No	13.8	0.6	6.1	0.5	3.2	0.5	12.7	0.8	5.1	0.6	
Marital status											
Never married	8.1	0.8	-	-	3.4	0.5	-	-	6.4	0.8	
Not married but living with a partner	7.9	1.8	-	- - - -	3.8	1.5	-	- - - -	4.9	1.3	
Married	1.2	0.6	-	-	s	S	-	-	s	S	
Divorced or separated	s	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	s	S	
Other	6.6	3.6	-	-	S	S	-	-	s	S	

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Penetra	ation			Sexual To	ouching			
Survey Item	т	otal	Underg	raduate		iate or ssional	Underg	raduate		uate or ssional		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Year in School and Ti	ming of	Incidents										
Undergraduate												
Current year												
Freshman	11.9	1.4	3.5	1.0	-	-	9.2	1.2	-	-		
Sophomore	10.6	1.0	3.2	0.7	-	-	8.5	1.0	-	-		
Junior	8.7	1.0	3.6	0.7	-	-	6.0	1.0	-	-		
Senior	7.4	0.8	2.3	0.5	-	-	5.3	0.8	-	-		
Since entering co	ollege											
Freshman	12.0	1.4	3.7	1.0	-	-	9.2	1.2	-	-		
Sophomore	15.3	1.4	5.9	1.1	-	-	11.6	1.2	-	-		
Junior	17.3	1.6	7.4	0.9	-	-	13.2	1.4	-	-		
Senior	19.3	1.4	6.8	0.8	-	-	14.6	1.3	-	-		
Graduate/Profess	ional											
Current year												
1st year	4.5	0.9	-	-	1.6	0.5	-	-	3.7	0.7		
2nd year	3.7	1.1	-	-	2.9	0.9	-	-	1.4	0.6		
3rd year	2.5	1.1	-	-	s	S	-	-	2.5	1.1		
4th year	1.7	0.9	-	-	s	S	-	-	1.7	0.9		
5th year	S	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	s	s		
6th year	s	S	-	. –	s	S	-	. –	s	s		

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

				Penetra	tion			Sexual To	uching		
Survey Item	-	Fotal	Undergraduate			Graduate or Professional		raduate		ate or ssional	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Since entering	colleg	е									
1st year	7.0	1.0	-	-	2.4	0.6	-	-	5.8	0.8	
2nd year	5.1	1.1	-	-	3.8	0.9	-	-	3.0	0.7	
3rd year	9.2	2.3	-	-	2.2	1.0	-	-	7.5	2.3	
4th year	9.1	2.0	-	-	4.3	1.4	-	_	5.4	1.7	
5th year	7.4	2.7	-	-	2.7	1.8	-	-	6.5	2.3	
6th year	7.7	3.0	-	-	5.4	2.3	-	-	4.7	2.5	

¹Per 100 students.

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual TouchingInvolving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics byBehavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

		Coe	rcion		Absence of Affirmative Consent			
Survey Item	Penet	tration	Sexual Touching		Penetration			cual ching
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics								
Gender								
Male	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	97.3	1.5	99.5	0.3
Female	-	-	-	-	2.7	1.6	3.7	1.5
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Number of offenders			1					
1 offender	90.0	9.6	84.9	15.8	68.5	3.6	59.4	2.7
2 offenders	S	s	-	-	20.6	3.3	20.2	2.5
3 or more offenders	-	-	S	S	11.0	2.7	20.4	2.6
University affiliation			1					
Student	59.7	16.0	S	s	72.9	3.4	85.0	2.2
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	S	S	0.7	0.4
Other staff or administrator	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	S
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-	5.2	1.7	12.0	2.2
Don't know association with university	S	S	s	s	29.1	3.8	13.2	2.2

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual TouchingInvolving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics byBehavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

		Coer	cion		Absence of Affirmative Consent			
Survey Item	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	43.6	16.1	60.6	26.2	53.0	3.9	15.3	2.1
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	22.6	12.3	s	S	22.9	2.9	6.4	1.3
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	s
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	S	s	-	-	1.8	0.9	2.4	0.9
Friend or acquaintance	30.5	15.5	-	-	32.4	3.0	40.7	2.9
Stranger	s	S	-	-	18.9	3.1	50.0	3.4
Other	-	-	-	-	2.4	1.2	2.1	0.9
Don't Know	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	S

¹Per 100 victims.

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

		Absence of Affirmative Consent						
Survey Item	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration			cual ching
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics								
Gender								
Male	-	-	-	-	39.6	11.1	34.3	9.1
Female	S	S	-	-	62.3	10.5	67.9	9.0
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Number of offenders		· · · · ·					-	
1 offender	S	s	S	s	84.0	7.4	77.0	7.5
2 offenders	-	-	-	-	11.3	6.2	21.6	7.4
3 or more offenders	-	-	-	-	s	s	S	s
University affiliation	1	I						
Student	S	s	-	-	79.9	8.8	80.7	8.4
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	S
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-	S	s	-	-
Don't know association with university	s	S	-	-	19.5	9.5	15.9	8.0

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

		Coer	cion		Absence of Affirmative Consent			
Survey Item	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration			cual Ching
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	S	S	-	-	40.4	11.1	21.7	8.0
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	-	-	-	-	23.0	9.3	10.2	4.8
Friend or acquaintance	-	-	-	-	45.3	11.3	37.5	9.6
Stranger	S	S	-	-	21.3	8.0	41.1	8.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S

¹Per 100 victims.

Table 4.6. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	Т	otal	Female (n=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)		TGQN (n=53)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	14.1	0.4	23.1	0.7	5.7	0.4	19.4	5.3
Penetration	5.1	0.3	8.5	0.5	1.9	0.3	12.4	4.5
Sexual Touching	11.4	0.4	18.9	0.7	4.4	0.4	17.3	5.2
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	14.6	0.4	24.0	0.7	5.9	0.5	19.4	5.3
Penetration	6.2	0.3	10.4	0.5	2.2	0.3	12.4	4.5
Sexual Touching	11.4	0.4	18.9	0.7	4.4	0.4	17.3	5.2
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	14.7	0.4	24.2	0.6	6.0	0.5	19.4	5.3
Penetration	6.3	0.3	10.6	0.5	2.3	0.3	13.7	4.6
Sexual Touching	11.5	0.4	19.0	0.7	4.5	0.4	17.3	5.2
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	18.6	0.4	30.7	0.7	7.5	0.5	22.8	5.7
Penetration	8.3	0.3	14.0	0.6	3.0	0.3	13.7	4.6
Sexual Touching	15.0	0.4	25.1	0.7	5.7	0.4	20.7	5.5

Table 4.7a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	Т	otal	Female (n=2,525)		Male (n=1,553)		TGQN (n=32)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	18.0	0.5	29.1	0.9	6.6	0.6	17.8	7.1
Penetration	6.5	0.4	10.6	0.6	2.3	0.4	12.9	6.2
Sexual Touching	14.7	0.5	24.1	0.9	5.1	0.5	14.4	6.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	18.7	0.5	30.3	0.8	6.8	0.6	17.8	7.1
Penetration	8.0	0.4	13.2	0.7	2.6	0.4	12.9	6.2
Sexual Touching	14.7	0.5	24.1	0.9	5.1	0.5	14.4	6.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	18.9	0.5	30.6	0.8	6.9	0.6	17.8	7.1
Penetration	8.2	0.4	13.5	0.7	2.6	0.4	12.9	6.2
Sexual Touching	14.8	0.5	24.1	0.9	5.2	0.5	14.4	6.7
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	23.8	0.6	38.2	1.0	9.0	0.7	21.1	7.5
Penetration	10.6	0.5	17.4	0.8	3.6	0.5	12.9	6.2
Sexual Touching	19.3	0.6	31.5	1.0	6.9	0.6	17.8	7.2

Table 4.7b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving PhysicalForce, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item		Total	Female (n=1,441)		Male (n=1,140)		TGQN (n=21)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	6.4	0.4	9.3	0.6	4.1	0.6	21.9	9.2
Penetration	2.3	0.3	3.7	0.5	1.2	0.3	S	S
Sexual Touching	5.0	0.3	7.0	0.6	3.3	0.5	21.9	9.2
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	6.7	0.4	9.5	0.6	4.4	0.6	21.9	9.2
Penetration	2.7	0.3	4.1	0.5	1.5	0.4	S	S
Sexual Touching	5.0	0.3	7.0	0.6	3.3	0.5	21.9	9.2
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	6.7	0.4	9.5	0.6	4.4	0.6	21.9	9.2
Penetration	2.8	0.3	4.1	0.5	1.7	0.4	14.9	8.1
Sexual Touching	5.0	0.3	7.1	0.6	3.3	0.5	21.9	9.2
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	8.8	0.5	13.6	0.8	5.0	0.6	25.5	9.7
Penetration	4.0	0.4	6.3	0.6	2.1	0.4	14.9	8.1
Sexual Touching	6.9	0.4	10.6	0.7	3.8	0.5	25.5	9.7

Table 4.8. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercionand Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	1	otal	Female (n=787)		Male (n=478)		TGQN (n=10)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	20.8	1.0	33.1	1.5	8.2	1.1	S	S
Penetration	7.9	0.7	11.9	1.2	3.8	0.8	S	S
Sexual Touching	16.6	0.9	27.4	1.6	5.6	0.9	S	S
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	21.5	1.0	34.3	1.5	8.5	1.1	S	S
Penetration	9.7	0.8	15.0	1.3	4.1	0.8	S	S
Sexual Touching	16.6	0.9	27.4	1.6	5.6	0.9	8.5	8.3
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	21.7	1.0	34.7	1.6	8.5	1.1	S	S
Penetration	9.8	0.8	15.4	1.3	4.1	0.8	S	S
Sexual Touching	16.6	0.9	27.5	1.6	5.6	0.9	S	S
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	26.8	1.1	42.0	1.6	11.3	1.2	25.4	13.6
Penetration	12.7	0.9	19.4	1.5	5.7	1.0	S	s
Sexual Touching	21.4	1.1	35.0	1.6	7.5	1.0	S	s

Table 4.9. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	Т	otal	Female (n=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)		TGQN (n=53)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	7.4	0.3	12.2	0.5	3.0	0.4	13.8	4.5
Penetration	2.0	0.2	3.4	0.3	0.7	0.2	7.6	4.0
Sexual Touching	6.5	0.3	10.5	0.5	2.6	0.3	13.8	4.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.8	0.3	13.0	0.5	3.0	0.4	13.8	4.5
Penetration	2.6	0.2	4.5	0.3	0.7	0.2	8.2	4.0
Sexual Touching	6.5	0.3	10.5	0.5	2.6	0.3	13.8	4.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.9	0.3	13.1	0.5	3.1	0.4	13.8	4.5
Penetration	2.7	0.2	4.6	0.3	0.8	0.2	9.5	4.1
Sexual Touching	6.5	0.3	10.5	0.5	2.7	0.3	13.8	4.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	10.5	0.4	17.5	0.7	3.9	0.4	17.2	4.9
Penetration	3.8	0.2	6.5	0.4	1.2	0.2	9.5	4.1
Sexual Touching	8.6	0.4	14.2	0.6	3.3	0.4	17.2	4.9

Table 4.10a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force,Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	Т	otal		male 2,525)		/lale 1,553)		GQN 1=32)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	9.7	0.4	15.8	0.6	3.5	0.5	11.1	5.5
Penetration	2.6	0.2	4.3	0.3	0.9	0.2	S	S
Sexual Touching	8.4	0.4	13.7	0.7	3.0	0.5	11.1	5.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	10.3	0.4	16.9	0.7	3.5	0.5	11.1	5.5
Penetration	3.4	0.2	5.8	0.4	0.9	0.2	S	S
Sexual Touching	8.4	0.4	13.7	0.7	3.0	0.5	11.1	5.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	10.4	0.4	17.0	0.7	3.6	0.5	11.1	5.5
Penetration	3.5	0.2	5.9	0.4	0.9	0.3	S	S
Sexual Touching	8.5	0.4	13.7	0.7	3.1	0.5	11.1	5.5
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	13.6	0.5	22.2	0.9	4.7	0.6	14.4	6.1
Penetration	4.9	0.3	8.1	0.5	1.4	0.3	S	S
Sexual Touching	11.1	0.5	18.0	0.8	3.9	0.6	14.4	6.1

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.10b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving PhysicalForce, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item		Total		emale 1,441)		Лаle 1,140)		GQN 1=21)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	3.1	0.3	4.1	0.4	2.1	0.3	18.3	8.6
Penetration	0.9	0.2	1.4	0.3	0.4	0.2	S	S
Sexual Touching	2.6	0.2	3.3	0.4	2.0	0.3	18.3	8.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	3.2	0.3	4.2	0.4	2.2	0.3	18.3	8.6
Penetration	1.0	0.2	1.5	0.3	0.5	0.2	S	S
Sexual Touching	2.6	0.2	3.3	0.4	2.0	0.3	18.3	8.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	3.2	0.3	4.2	0.4	2.2	0.3	18.3	8.6
Penetration	1.1	0.2	1.5	0.3	0.7	0.2	14.9	8.1
Sexual Touching	2.6	0.2	3.3	0.4	2.0	0.3	18.3	8.6
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	4.6	0.4	6.9	0.6	2.6	0.4	21.8	9.2
Penetration	1.8	0.3	2.9	0.5	0.9	0.2	14.9	8.1
Sexual Touching	3.7	0.3	5.4	0.5	2.2	0.4	21.8	9.2

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.11. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation,Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item	٦	Total		emale =787)		Male =478)		ΓGQN n=10)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	8.0	0.7	12.9	1.1	3.1	0.7	-	-
Penetration	2.2	0.4	3.2	0.5	1.2	0.4	-	-
Sexual Touching	7.1	0.8	11.4	1.2	2.6	0.7	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	8.6	0.7	14.2	1.2	3.1	0.7	-	-
Penetration	3.0	0.4	4.9	0.7	1.2	0.4	-	-
Sexual Touching	7.1	0.8	11.4	1.2	2.6	0.7	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	8.6	0.7	14.2	1.2	3.1	0.7	-	-
Penetration	3.0	0.4	4.9	0.7	1.2	0.4	-	-
Sexual Touching	7.1	0.8	11.4	1.2	2.6	0.7	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	11.7	0.8	18.5	1.3	4.7	0.9	S	5
Penetration	4.3	0.5	6.7	0.9	1.9	0.5	-	-
Sexual Touching	9.4	0.8	15.0	1.3	3.7	0.9	S	S

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional .,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	54.7	0.6	73.2	0.9	50.3	1.0	50.0	1.1	34.0	1.2
University-associated individual:										
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	36.2	0.5	53.8	1.1	36.7	1.1	28.0	1.0	18.6	1.0
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	44.3	0.6	61.0	0.9	36.7	1.1	41.0	1.1	26.7	1.2
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	20.0	0.4	30.5	0.9	14.5	0.8	17.1	1.0	10.8	0.9
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	12.3	0.4	18.6	0.9	7.8	0.6	11.6	0.9	5.6	0.6
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	12.6	0.4	25.8	0.9	10.7	0.7	6.0	0.7	2.8	0.4
Percent of Victims of Harassment										
Number of offenders										
1 person	25.4	0.7	20.9	0.9	32.5	1.6	27.0	1.3	31.0	2.3
2 persons	25.4	0.7	27.1	1.2	29.4	1.5	22.1	1.5	23.0	2.0
3 or more persons	49.2	0.8	52.0	1.3	38.1	1.7	50.9	1.6	46.0	2.0

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate 2,525)	Profe	iate or ssional ,441)			Profe	uate or ssional 1,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term										
0 times	17.8	0.7	14.9	0.9	26.9	1.4	15.6	1.3	24.9	2.0
1 time	20.0	0.7	18.9	0.9	22.1	1.8	20.2	1.4	21.5	1.9
2 times	21.1	0.8	23.8	1.1	22.8	1.4	17.2	1.5	18.4	1.6
3-5 times	27.8	0.7	30.0	1.3	24.1	1.3	27.8	1.5	25.1	2.1
6-9 times	6.8	0.4	8.2	0.7	2.1	0.5	8.4	1.1	2.5	0.7
10 or more times	6.3	0.4	4.2	0.4	2.2	0.4	10.9	1.2	7.6	1.2
Association with university										
Student	92.8	0.4	95.0	0.4	85.4	1.2	94.4	0.7	88.0	1.8
Faculty	8.2	0.4	4.9	0.6	21.8	1.4	4.0	0.7	15.4	1.6
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.7	0.3	S	s
Other staff or administrator	4.4	0.4	4.5	0.5	7.1	1.0	2.5	0.7	5.8	1.1
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	2.5	0.2	2.7	0.4	3.0	0.6	1.8	0.4	2.2	0.7
The person was not affiliated with [University]	9.1	0.5	10.1	0.8	9.7	1.0	7.0	0.8	8.9	1.3
Don't know association with [University]	9.0	0.5	12.2	0.8	6.6	1.0	6.2	0.9	6.6	1.0

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)					
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional 1,140)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Relationship to victim												
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	5.8	0.3	8.5	0.6	4.3	0.6	3.8	0.6	2.5	0.7		
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	8.1	0.4	11.9	0.7	6.0	0.9	5.1	0.9	4.0	0.8		
Teacher or advisor	5.7	0.3	4.2	0.5	15.4	1.3	2.4	0.6	8.3	1.2		
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	8.4	0.4	6.3	0.6	18.3	1.3	4.6	0.7	14.0	1.5		
Friend or acquaintance	72.2	0.6	69.9	1.0	65.6	1.6	78.4	1.3	73.7	2.1		
Stranger	45.6	0.8	59.7	1.3	35.5	1.5	34.7	1.7	29.4	2.1		
Other	5.7	0.4	5.0	0.6	7.6	0.9	4.9	0.8	7.6	1.5		
Don't Know	3.0	0.3	2.5	0.4	3.0	0.6	3.6	0.6	2.5	0.7		

		TGQN (r	1=53)	
Survey Item	Underg (n=	raduate 32)	Profe	ate or ssional 21)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	69.3	10.1	66.5	9.1
University-associated individual:				
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	63.8	9.9	43.2	11.7
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	54.1	11.3	60.7	9.5
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	21.8	7.7	43.3	9.8
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	22.4	7.8	18.4	7.7
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	12.0	6.4	S	S
Percent of Victims of Harassment				
Number of offenders				
1 person	13.9	7.9	-	-
2 persons	27.5	9.2	S	S
3 or more persons	58.6	9.5	79.0	13.4

		TGQN	(n=53)		
Survey Item		Gradu Undergraduate Profes (n=32) (n=			
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term					
0 times	S	S	S	S	
1 time	13.5	6.7	24.2	11.2	
2 times	36.5	10.2	31.9	15.4	
3-5 times	21.6	9.2	S	S	
6-9 times	11.1	5.9	18.2	9.9	
10 or more times	S	S	S	S	
Association with university			·		
Student	95.2	4.6	100.0	0.0	
Faculty	S	S	21.7	9.9	
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	-	-	-	-	
Other staff or administrator	-	-	S	S	
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	9.4	5.4	S	S	
The person was not affiliated with [University]	24.9	9.0	27.9	14.5	
Don't know association with [University]	S	S	24.3	13.7	

		TGQN (r	=53)	
Survey Item		graduate =32)	Profes	ate or ssional 21)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	S	S	S	S
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	17.3	8.3	-	-
Teacher or advisor	S	S	S	S
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	S	S	19.1	9.1
Friend or acquaintance	59.6	10.0	63.6	14.9
Stranger	66.6	9.4	79.0	12.7
Other	7.8	5.1	22.8	13.6
Don't Know	S	S	S	S

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

				Female (n	=3,966)	Male (n=2,693)					
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional .,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	% StdErr % StdErr		StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Reporting to Others											
Friend	59.3	0.9	74.3	1.1	69.2	1.4	38.7	1.6	42.3	2.4	
Family member	11.7	0.5	13.2	0.9	18.5	1.4	6.8	1.0	10.0	1.5	
Faculty	2.8	0.3	2.8	0.4	8.2	1.1	0.8	0.4	1.8	0.6	
Someone else	5.9	0.4	5.2	0.5	10.5	1.2	4.6	0.7	6.5	1.3	
I didn't tell anyone else	37.3	0.9	24.6	1.1	24.3	1.4	56.8	1.5	51.7	2.6	

				Female (n:	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	3)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		uate or ssional .,441)	Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional I,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Reporting to a Program				1	1						
Contacted at least one program in university list	8.0	0.4	10.5	0.7	7.2	0.9	6.5	0.9	3.2	0.8	
Contacted Sexual Assault Prevention and Awareness Center (SAPAC)	30.7	2.6	35.8	4.1	25.3	5.6	20.5	5.7	33.1	14.3	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	69.9	4.9	76.4	5.7	58.2	12.1	69.0	14.3	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	16.9	3.5	14.6	4.3	24.6	11.6	25.1	14.8	S	S	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	3.7	1.7	S	S	s	S	S	S	S	s	
Prior to Fall of 2012	9.9	3.3	7.5	3.5	S	S	-	-	S	s	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear										
Not at all	7.6	2.7	7.2	2.8	-	-	19.9	15.0	-	-	
A little	17.7	3.8	20.3	4.8	s	S	S	S	-	-	
Somewhat	18.5	5.2	16.8	6.7	20.5	11.0	S	S	S	s	
Very	24.1	4.9	20.3	5.5	34.7	14.3	S	S	S	s	
Extremely	32.1	4.6	35.4	6.0	33.3	10.9	S	S	S	S	

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional ,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted UM Residence Hall or Housing Staff	23.6	2.7	23.1	2.9	9.8	3.9	33.1	8.0	21.4	11.7	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	49.2	6.3	43.4	7.6	-	-	68.9	12.4	S	S	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	16.9	5.2	19.1	6.4	S	S	S	S	S	S	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	16.5	4.4	22.8	6.2	-	-	S	S	-	_	
Prior to Fall of 2012	17.3	4.5	14.7	5.0	64.4	19.8	S	S	S	S	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Ye	ear										
Not at all	15.7	4.3	13.2	4.4	S	S	19.3	11.0	-	-	
A little	22.0	6.4	19.3	6.1	S	S	30.4	16.7	-	-	
Somewhat	34.0	6.7	42.4	7.7	S	S	23.3	11.6	S	S	
Very	23.6	6.0	23.9	6.3	S	S	22.8	12.4	S	S	
Extremely	4.7	2.4	S	S	S	S	S	s	S	S	

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional .,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Office of the Dean of Students	8.3	1.5	8.5	1.8	18.3	4.6	S	S	s	s	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	42.1	8.5	42.7	13.1	37.5	15.5	S	S	S	S	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	37.3	9.6	38.4	13.9	53.7	16.2	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	11.8	6.8	S	S	s	S	-	-	S	S	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear										
Not at all	33.9	9.5	24.6	9.8	46.2	16.4	-	-	S	S	
A little	26.7	8.2	25.2	10.8	S	S	S	S	S	S	
Somewhat	7.8	4.1	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Very	19.2	7.0	31.9	11.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	12.5	6.1	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		iate or ssional ,441)	Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profes	ate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of Institutional Equity or Title IX Coordinator	8.8	1.7	7.4	1.8	10.5	3.5	8.1	3.9	25.8	13.2
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	53.5	11.0	67.8	15.7	50.1	22.1	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	20.5	8.1	19.5	9.9	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	12.8	5.6	S	S	-	-	S	S	S	S
Prior to Fall of 2012	13.2	7.5	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	36.3	10.6	26.8	10.7	S	S	S	S	S	S
A little	11.5	5.6	S	S	50.1	22.1	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	41.7	11.4	45.1	11.4	S	S	S	S	S	S
Very	10.5	5.7	20.4	10.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	ate or ssional ,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Office of Student Conflict Resolution	11.7	2.0	13.8	2.8	4.4	2.3	9.3	4.8	S	S	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	57.8	10.8	56.7	13.3	S	S	89.3	13.6	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	17.6	7.3	25.7	10.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	14.1	4.8	13.4	5.9	-	-	S	S	S	S	
Prior to Fall of 2012	10.6	5.7	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear										
Not at all	20.4	7.1	15.0	6.6	S	S	S	S	S	S	
A little	13.7	5.9	20.0	8.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	31.6	7.7	40.9	10.9	S	S	-	-	-	- - -	
Very	32.2	10.6	24.2	14.4	-	-	66.9	20.8	S	S	
Extremely	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-	

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		raduate ,553)	Profes	ate or ssional ,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS)	60.9	2.9	66.6	3.3	59.3	5.2	53.3	7.2	32.2	11.3	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	59.9	3.6	61.9	4.9	55.6	8.1	55.8	8.7	S	S	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	21.4	3.0	22.0	4.2	19.1	7.6	24.9	8.3	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	12.3	2.7	11.9	3.3	S	S	14.6	5.9	S	S	
Prior to Fall of 2012	6.3	1.5	4.2	1.8	19.7	6.4	S	S	S	S	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear										
Not at all	15.6	2.3	16.4	2.8	S	S	21.3	9.0	-	-	
A little	25.6	3.1	28.3	4.0	30.0	8.2	15.0	6.2	-	-	
Somewhat	33.8	3.2	30.8	3.9	34.6	7.1	42.9	8.8	S	S	
Very	16.1	2.7	16.9	3.4	13.4	5.4	14.5	8.2	S	S	
Extremely	8.9	2.0	7.5	2.8	16.4	5.8	S	S	S	S	

				Female (n:	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		raduate ,553)	Profes	uate or ssional .,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of the Ombuds	3.2	1.3	3.8	2.2	5.1	2.6	-	-	S	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	76.7	18.4	100	0.0	s	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	S	S
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year									
Not at all	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	24.4	18.9	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	s	S
Very	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	Т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		raduate ,553)	Profes	uate or ssional .,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted SafeHouse Center	2.5	0.8	2.0	0.9	9.5	3.5	-	-	S	s
Most recent contact			<u></u>				<u></u>			
Fall of 2014 - present	91.3	8.1	100	0.0	100	0.0	-	- -	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year				1				1	
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	29.7	16.6	S	s	s	S	-	-	-	-
Very	33.8	13.8	S	s	s	S	-	-	s	S
Extremely	S	S	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		iate or ssional ,441)	Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profes	ate or ssional ,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted University of Michigan Police Department	11.7	1.9	11.6	2.0	12.8	5.0	9.1	5.6	25.2	13.1	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	46.7	9.4	32.4	8.2	51.4	29.5	100	0.0	S	S	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	27.5	6.7	41.2	8.3	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	13.4	5.9	23.2	9.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	12.4	5.5	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear										
Not at all	19.6	6.3	18.8	7.1	S	S	S	S	-	-	
A little	36.6	8.5	38.9	9.0	S	S	S	S	S	S	
Somewhat	28.4	10.7	20.3	8.0	34.9	23.5	S	S	-	- -	
Very	9.4	4.6	11.5	6.2	-	-	-	-	S	S	
Extremely	6.1	3.3	10.5	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item	Total		Undergradu Total (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional I,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Ann Arbor Police Department	11.7	1.8	10.4	2.1	9.7	3.5	13.6	6.0	25.6	13.2	
Most recent contact			<u></u>								
Fall of 2014 - present	63.6	9.3	65.7	11.3	S	S	100	0.0	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	14.1	6.7	17.1	9.0	S	S	-	-	S	S	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	8.0	4.2	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	
Prior to Fall of 2012	14.3	6.3	S	S	47.7	22.0	-	-	S	S	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				1						
Not at all	36.5	11.0	25.8	9.3	-	-	S	s	S	S	
A little	19.3	7.6	21.2	10.8	S	s	-	-	S	S	
Somewhat	23.6	7.2	22.5	8.7	63.0	22.3	s	s	-	-	
Very	18.4	5.6	26.3	8.1	s	S	s	s	-	-	
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional L,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs				1				1		
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	5.6	0.4	7.2	0.8	8.1	0.9	3.2	0.7	3.6	1.0
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	5.0	0.4	7.1	0.8	6.5	0.8	2.0	0.7	2.8	0.8
I did not think anyone would believe me	2.1	0.2	2.7	0.4	3.2	0.6	0.8	0.4	1.6	0.6
I did not think it was serious enough to report	80.2	0.8	84.8	0.9	77.8	1.7	76.8	1.6	76.3	2.1
I did not want the person to get into trouble	10.7	0.4	10.5	0.7	13.1	1.3	9.7	1.1	11.3	1.4
I feared negative social consequences	9.1	0.5	11.2	0.8	15.9	1.2	3.9	0.7	6.5	1.1
I did not think anything would be done	17.6	0.6	21.3	1.0	25.6	1.7	9.8	0.9	13.2	1.6
I feared it would not be kept confidential	5.7	0.4	7.3	0.8	10.5	1.1	2.0	0.4	3.7	0.8
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	8.8	0.4	10.5	0.8	12.2	1.5	5.2	0.7	6.9	1.1
Incident did not occur while attending school	3.7	0.3	4.2	0.6	4.2	0.7	3.3	0.6	2.1	0.7
Other	13.9	0.6	11.2	0.9	14.0	1.2	16.4	1.2	16.9	1.5

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2,3}

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate 2,525)	Profe	uate or ssional .,441)		graduate 1,553)	Profe	uate or ssional .,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	9.9	0.5	12.2	0.8	7.1	0.7	9.9	1.0	7.7	0.9
Partner controlled or tried to control	6.5	0.4	8.1	0.6	4.6	0.6	6.7	0.8	4.8	0.6
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	3.5	0.3	4.6	0.5	3.2	0.5	2.9	0.5	2.8	0.5
Partner used physical force	3.7	0.3	4.2	0.5	3.2	0.5	3.5	0.6	3.1	0.5
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence										
Number of offenders										
1 person	90.5	1.2	90.0	1.7	92.2	2.7	90.5	3.0	93.7	2.4
2 persons	8.2	1.1	8.4	1.5	5.2	2.4	9.5	3.0	5.2	2.3
3 or more persons	1.4	0.4	1.7	0.7	s	S	-	-	s	S
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term										
0 times	45.0	2.9	48.4	3.6	55.8	4.8	35.9	5.5	45.5	5.4
1 time	19.2	2.1	20.2	2.4	22.2	3.7	18.8	4.9	15.9	4.1
2 times	14.5	1.9	11.5	1.9	9.5	3.7	21.0	5.3	14.2	4.4
3-5 times	13.6	1.5	13.8	2.1	9.1	2.9	15.1	3.6	12.3	3.6
6-9 times	3.5	1.0	2.1	0.8	s	S	6.1	2.5	s	s
10 or more times	4.3	0.9	4.1	1.3	s	S	3.0	1.6	8.8	3.0

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender,Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2,3} (continued)

		TGQN	(n=53)	
Survey Item		raduate =32)		Professional =21)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	14.0	6.5	22.1	10.5
Partner controlled or tried to control	9.3	5.6	22.1	10.5
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	9.9	5.3	S	S
Partner used physical force	S	S	15.4	8.6
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence	<u>'</u>			
Number of offenders				
1 person	71.0	30.3	S	S
2 persons	S	S	S	S
3 or more persons	-	-	S	S
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term	<u>'</u>			
0 times	91.9	8.5	-	-
1 time	-	-	S	S
2 times	S	S	-	-
3-5 times	-	-	S	S
6-9 times	-	-	-	-
10 or more times	-	-	s	S

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²Percent of students who reported being in a partnered relationship since entering college (question A13 on questionnaire).

³TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)							
Survey Item	т	Total				Undergraduate Total (n=2,525)			Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional L,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr				
Reporting to Others														
Friend	62.6	2.7	75.0	3.2	68.6	4.2	51.8	6.0	43.6	6.4				
Family member	21.7	1.7	20.5	2.4	36.4	4.9	16.3	4.1	24.3	3.9				
Faculty	2.8	0.6	3.5	1.2	6.4	2.6	S	S	S	S				
Someone else	7.8	1.0	7.7	1.9	8.4	2.8	5.8	1.9	7.6	2.6				
I didn't tell anyone else	31.3	2.4	23.6	3.0	21.4	3.3	40.1	6.2	42.5	5.2				

				Female (n=	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate 2,525)	Profe	uate or ssional .,441)		raduate ,553)	Profe	uate or ssional L,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program										
Contacted at least one program in university list	16.4	1.4	17.7	2.5	26.6	4.7	11.7	3.0	13.1	3.7
Contacted Sexual Assault Prevention and Awareness Center (SAPAC)	21.3	4.6	21.8	4.6	S	S	35.6	17.4	S	S
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	66.1	9.9	78.2	13.0	s	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	S	S
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear									
Not at all	37.5	15.7	S	S	-	-	100	0.0	-	-
A little	18.7	9.3	41.0	15.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	19.9	8.4	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S
Extremely	12.9	6.1	20.5	9.3	S	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,525)	Profes	ate or ssional ,441)	Underg (n=1	raduate ,553)	Profes	iate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted UM Residence Hall or Housing Staff	7.3	2.8	11.6	5.5	-	-	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	S	S	S	S	-	i _	S	S	-	i I I _ I
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	 _	-	- -	-	- -
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Ye	ear									
Not at all	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,525)	Profes	uate or ssional ,441)		raduate ,553)	Profes	uate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of the Dean of Students	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	100		S	s	-	-	-	- -	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	- -	-	i - -	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear				1				1	
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	100	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item		otal	(n=2	raduate ,525)	Profes (n=1	ate or sional ,441)	Underg (n=1	,553)	Profes (n=1	ate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of Institutional Equity or Title IX Coordinator	3.2	1.8	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	100	- -	S	S	-	- -	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	s	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	S	S	-	; ; ; –	-	-	-	- - -
Very	-	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item		otal	(n=2	raduate ,525)	Profes (n=1	ate or ssional ,441)	(n=1		Profes (n=1	ate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of Student Conflict Resolution	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	S	S	S	S	-	- - -	S	S	-	- -
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	- - -	-	-	-	- -
Very	S	S	-	-	-	- -	S	S	-	- -
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-	- - -

				Female (n:	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,525)	Profes	ate or ssional ,441)		raduate ,553)	Profe	iate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS)	72.4	5.2	77.1	6.8	81.7	8.3	40.0	15.6	100	0.0
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	55.3	7.1	73.8	9.4	28.9	10.6	S	S	42.2	16.5
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	15.5	5.7	11.6	6.9	15.6	10.5	S	S	S	S
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	15.0	4.1	S	S	19.2	9.6	S	S	S	S
Prior to Fall of 2012	14.2	3.9	S	S	36.3	10.8	-	-	22.6	12.0
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				1					
Not at all	14.9	4.1	16.4	7.0	20.6	8.8	S	S	-	-
A little	18.8	4.7	23.2	7.7	19.1	10.2	-	-	S	S
Somewhat	37.7	5.7	36.0	9.0	25.5	9.5	S	s	61.1	16.7
Very	16.6	4.6	16.6	8.7	27.0	8.9	-	-	S	S
Extremely	12.1	4.3	7.7	5.2	S	S	S	S	S	S

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		uate or ssional ,441)		raduate ,553)	Profe	uate or ssional .,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of the Ombuds	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact	`		<u></u>							
Fall of 2014 - present	100	-	S	S	-	-	-	- -	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 Schoo	l Year									
Not at all	100	-	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	г	otal		raduate ,525)	Profes	ate or ssional ,441)		raduate ,553)	Profe	uate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted SafeHouse Center	s	S	-	-	S	S	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	S	S	-	-	S	S	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-	- -	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	'ear				1				1	
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	s	S	-	-	-	-	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,525)	Profe	uate or ssional ,441)		raduate ,553)	Profes	ate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted University of Michigan Police Department	4.6	2.0	8.5	4.1	S	S	-	- - -	-	-
Most recent contact							,			
Fall of 2014 - present	65.9	27.4	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	/ear						1			
Not at all	65.9	27.4	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate 2,525)	Profe	uate or ssional ,441)		raduate ,553)	Profe	uate or ssional .,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Ann Arbor Police Department	6.4	2.3	5.8	3.3	14.4	7.8	-	-	S	S
Most recent contact			<u></u>							
Fall of 2014 - present	66.8	20.7	S	s	S	S	-	-	S	S
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	33.2	20.7	S	s	S	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear		1							
Not at all	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	-	-
Very	42.5	22.5	S	S	-	-	-	-	S	S
Extremely	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal	-	raduate 2,525)	Profe	uate or ssional .,441)	Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs										
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	7.2	1.2	11.8	2.4	5.0	2.7	S	S	8.6	3.1
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	17.6	1.6	23.4	3.0	24.0	5.0	13.1	3.5	8.2	3.0
I did not think anyone would believe me	8.1	1.1	8.4	1.8	8.6	3.4	8.9	3.0	4.3	2.3
I did not think it was serious enough to report	61.3	2.6	58.6	3.3	63.2	5.9	63.7	4.7	63.9	5.6
I did not want the person to get into trouble	19.4	1.7	28.0	3.2	23.7	4.5	7.4	2.6	18.5	3.9
I feared negative social consequences	13.2	1.4	17.8	2.6	20.3	5.0	9.6	3.3	5.7	2.4
I did not think anything would be done	15.9	1.5	17.7	2.1	19.6	4.8	13.4	3.8	13.5	3.6
I feared it would not be kept confidential	8.2	1.2	12.7	2.3	7.1	3.1	3.0	1.6	6.7	2.4
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	20.6	1.8	20.8	2.8	44.0	7.0	11.9	3.5	22.2	4.7
Incident did not occur while attending school	3.5	0.9	2.6	1.2	S	S	2.2	1.1	8.0	3.2
Other	21.5	2.2	15.5	2.5	11.2	3.7	29.9	5.6	24.0	4.9

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2}

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		graduate 2,525)	Profes	ate or ssional ,441)		raduate ,553)	Profe	uate or ssional .,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	4.1	0.2	6.5	0.4	4.8	0.7	2.4	0.4	1.8	0.3
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	2.3	0.2	3.6	0.4	2.7	0.4	1.5	0.3	1.1	0.3
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	1.9	0.2	3.3	0.3	2.7	0.5	1.0	0.2	0.7	0.2
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	1.0	0.1	1.7	0.2	0.9	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.2
Percent of Victims of Stalking				•	1	•	1	•	1	•
Number of incidents since beginning	of 201	4 term								
0 times	25.2	2.6	25.7	3.9	31.8	5.2	13.6	6.3	36.7	9.4
1 time	14.1	2.2	12.8	2.9	16.2	4.3	9.1	6.2	20.3	9.2
2 times	20.9	2.4	18.7	3.3	22.0	5.1	29.5	8.0	15.4	7.2
3-5 times	25.6	2.5	30.3	3.4	16.6	4.4	24.7	8.7	23.6	9.1
6-9 times	7.6	2.1	7.9	2.2	5.5	3.7	S	S	-	-
10 or more times	6.6	2.0	4.6	1.7	7.8	3.2	12.9	9.4	s	s
Association with university										
Student	66.9	3.0	71.2	4.2	66.6	5.7	65.5	8.0	49.9	11.3
Faculty	1.1	0.6	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	1.3	0.7	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	9.3	1.8	10.3	2.4	6.3	3.0	11.1	5.7	S	S
Don't know association with university	32.6	3.6	28.3	3.5	30.3	5.5	39.7	10.2	42.5	11.2

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,525)	Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)				Profe	uate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	11.6	2.3	12.4	2.9	7.3	2.8	13.5	6.3	13.3	6.7
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	27.8	2.9	30.5	3.5	26.5	5.6	22.1	9.4	25.4	8.8
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	4.0	1.2	4.5	1.8	s	s	-	-	S	s
Friend or acquaintance	42.1	3.0	49.2	3.8	33.6	5.6	42.3	10.4	19.0	8.2
Stranger	26.1	2.6	22.8	3.2	30.9	7.1	24.8	7.9	41.0	10.3
Other	11.1	2.0	5.6	1.5	19.3	4.5	11.5	6.0	20.3	8.4
Don't Know	2.3	0.9	1.5	0.8	s	S	S	S	-	-

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN (n=53)	
Survey Item		raduate 32)	Profes	ate or ssional 21)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	12.4	6.4	12.7	7.0
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	S	S	12.7	7.0
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	-	-	S	S
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	S	S	-	i ! !
Percent of Victims of Stalking				
Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term				
0 times	S	S	-	-
1 time	S	S	S	S
2 times	-	-	S	S
3-5 times	-	-	-	-
6-9 times	S	S	-	-
10 or more times	-	-	-	-
Association with university				
Student	S	S	S	S
Faculty	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	-	- -	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	-	-
Don't know association with university	S	S	S	S

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

		TGQN (r	1=53)	
Survey Item		raduate :32)	Profes	ate or ssional 21)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Relationship to victim				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	-	-	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	S	S	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	-	-	S	S
Stranger	S	S	-	-
Other	S	S	-	-
Don't Know	S	S	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)					
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profes	ate or ssional ,140)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Reporting to Others												
Friend	84.1	2.7	89.7	2.6	89.0	4.2	66.7	10.8	77.6	10.3		
Family member	47.7	3.7	49.8	4.3	40.2	5.1	51.5	11.8	45.2	12.5		
Faculty	14.8	2.9	9.0	2.7	23.9	5.1	21.5	10.1	16.7	8.5		
Someone else	13.3	2.2	12.2	2.8	25.6	6.3	7.1	4.0	S	S		
I didn't tell anyone else	10.5	2.5	4.9	1.5	4.8	2.7	26.7	10.9	19.5	10.1		

				Female (n:	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate 2,525)	Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional L,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		'		
Contacted at least one program in university list	28.4	2.9	26.8	3.7	31.8	5.0	34.8	10.5	25.3	9.7
Contacted Sexual Assault Prevention and Awareness Center (SAPAC)	24.8	4.8	36.0	9.0	25.9	10.2	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact					1					
Fall of 2014 - present	75.4	9.7	75.6	12.6	64.4	18.4	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear									
Not at all	19.0	9.5	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	14.0	10.1	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-
Very	29.6	11.3	28.6	15.0	45.9	18.0	-	-	-	-
Extremely	31.7	10.9	34.1	14.0	S	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n=	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profes	uate or ssional ,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted UM Residence Hall or Housing Staff	33.9	6.3	30.1	6.4	S	S	71.2	16.0	S	S	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	62.1	13.6	52.3	16.7	-	-	79.0	22.9	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	28.7	12.6	32.7	16.2	-	-	S	S	S	S	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Ye	ear				1				1		
Not at all	14.3	7.2	S	S	-	-	S	S	S	S	
A little	54.1	13.3	33.0	16.2	S	S	74.9	18.8	-	-	
Somewhat	19.6	9.6	30.2	15.2	-	-	s	s	-	-	
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)			
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,525)	Graduate e Professio (n=1,44			raduate ,553)	Profes	iate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of the Dean of Students	7.0	2.4	7.1	3.9	18.6	7.9	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact			<u></u>							
Fall of 2014 - present	55.6	21.2	S	S	74.9	26.5	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	S	S	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	S	S	s	S	S	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	s	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-

				Female (n:	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item		otal	(n=2	Undergraduate (n=2,525)		ate or ssional ,441)	Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe (n=1	ate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of Institutional Equity or Title IX Coordinator	8.2	3.6	10.0	5.7	S	S	S	S	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	42.6	31.0	S	S	S	S	-	- -	-	- -
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear									
Not at all	54.5	21.5	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
A little	s	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	S	S	S	-	- -	-	-	-	- -
Very	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-	- -
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	: – 	-	- -	-	: –

				Female (n	=3,966)			Male (n=	2,693)	
Survey Item	т	otal		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		raduate ,553)	Profes	ate or ssional ,140)
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of Student Conflict Resolution	12.0	3.8	12.6	6.1	S	S	5	S	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	62.3	18.3	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	- - -
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear									
Not at all	29.8	13.9	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	; ; ; –
Very	S	S	S	S	-	- -	S	S	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-	-

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,525)	Profes	iate or ssional ,441)	Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profes	ate or ssional ,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS)	27.2	4.7	28.9	7.3	39.1	10.0	S	S	S	S	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	68.4	9.7	75.7	15.6	43.2	19.3	S	S	S	S	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	23.4	9.2	S	S	39.1	20.2	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	S	S	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear				,						
Not at all	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
A little	6.6	3.4	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	28.9	9.8	28.7	13.0	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Very	37.3	11.2	41.8	17.0	31.3	17.1	-	-	S	s	
Extremely	16.9	6.8	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item	т	otal		raduate ,525)	Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profes	uate or ssional .,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Office of the Ombuds	4.2	2.8	8.4	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	62.8	65.6	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	37.2	65.6	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	'ear				1				1		
Not at all	31.4	32.8	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Somewhat	37.2	65.6	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Very	31.4	32.8	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)					
Survey Item	т	otal	Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	uate or ssional ,140)		
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr		
Contacted SafeHouse Center	3.0	1.6	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-		
Most recent contact												
Fall of 2014 - present	100	_	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-		
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-	- -	-	-		
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year				1				1			
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
A little	S	S	S	s	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Very	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Extremely	S	S	-	-	s	S	-	-	-	-		

				Female (n:	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)				
<i>Survey Item</i> Response	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Profe	ate or ssional ,140)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted University of Michigan Police Department	28.5	7.0	17.2	6.8	21.5	7.9	67.5	16.9	-	-	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	51.0	16.9	42.0	15.8	S	s	63.3	30.0	-	-	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	29.8	14.1	48.2	16.3	S	s	S	S	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	13.0	8.7	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School	Year		1				1				
Not at all	26.1	11.0	S	s	S	S	S	S	-	-	
A little	13.3	7.8	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Somewhat	45.0	18.3	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Very	S	S	s	s	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	8.2	5.2	27.4	13.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)				
Survey Item		Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		uate or ssional .,140)	
Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Contacted Ann Arbor Police Department	32.6	6.0	29.5	7.4	23.0	8.9	41.4	22.7	S	S	
Most recent contact											
Fall of 2014 - present	69.1	11.5	59.2	16.5	59.4	25.2	S	S	S	S	
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	21.2	10.2	31.2	15.9	-	-	S	S	-	-	
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-	
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Y	ear										
Not at all	29.9	10.7	28.3	10.9	S	S	S	S	S	s	
A little	33.3	15.9	S	S	59.4	25.2	S	S	-	-	
Somewhat	32.5	11.1	46.2	16.9	s	S	S	s	s	S	
Very	s	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Extremely	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

				Female (n	=3,966)		Male (n=2,693)				
<i>Survey Item</i> Response	Total		Undergraduate (n=2,525)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,441)		Undergraduate (n=1,553)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,140)		
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
id not contact any programs				1				'			
Reason											
Did not know where to go or who to tell	19.4	2.9	22.8	4.0	19.8	5.5	S	s	S	S	
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	15.6	3.7	18.7	4.6	16.2	5.3	S	S	S	S	
I did not think anyone would believe me	12.0	2.8	10.7	3.3	9.2	4.0	22.7	9.1	S	S	
I did not think it was serious enough to report	51.3	4.1	58.0	5.3	44.3	7.9	29.4	9.1	60.0	12.1	
l did not want the person to get into trouble	17.2	3.0	15.8	3.5	22.2	7.7	24.1	11.3	S	S	
I feared negative social consequences	12.4	2.4	7.5	2.3	23.3	6.9	23.5	10.6	S	S	
I did not think anything would be done	43.8	3.9	44.4	4.2	33.9	8.4	53.3	12.6	42.1	12.2	
I feared it would not be kept confidential	16.0	3.6	13.0	3.7	20.8	5.6	29.5	15.5	S	S	
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	26.7	3.3	23.1	4.1	34.6	6.7	18.6	7.8	30.4	10.8	
Incident did not occur while attending school	6.0	1.9	5.2	2.6	S	S	S	S	-	-	
Other	13.5	2.6	9.2	3.4	19.6	5.8	21.9	10.0	17.3	9.2	

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence orStalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2}

				Female (3,966)		Male (2,693)				
	т	Total		Undergraduate (2,525)		Graduate or Professional (1,441)		Undergraduate (1,553)		Graduate or Professional (1,140)	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	
Harassment											
Sexual orientation											
Heterosexual	52.6	0.6	72.0	1.0	47.4	1.2	47.9	1.1	31.5	1.3	
Non-Heterosexual	71.6	1.7	82.9	2.5	71.8	3.0	69.2	3.6	56.1	4.6	
Ethnicity											
Hispanic	54.4	2.8	73.4	4.1	45.6	5.0	52.5	6.7	42.0	6.0	
Not Hispanic	54.8	0.6	73.1	0.9	50.6	1.0	49.8	1.1	33.4	1.2	
Race											
American Indian or Alaska Native	64.0	5.8	77.7	6.8	61.8	13.1	58.0	13.9	37.1	13.2	
Asian	44.1	1.3	66.0	2.1	40.3	2.1	42.2	3.1	22.4	2.0	
Black or African American	57.3	3.2	69.7	4.4	47.1	4.5	58.7	6.4	34.1	7.0	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	70.5	10.1	70.0	10.5	S	S	67.6	22.0	100.0	0.0	
White	59.6	0.7	76.6	0.9	56.6	1.2	52.4	1.2	40.8	1.5	
Intimate partner violence Sexual orientation					1				1		
Heterosexual	9.2	0.5	11.5	0.8	6.1	0.8	9.5	1.0	7.1	1.0	
Non-Heterosexual	15.0	1.5	18.4	2.7	16.6	3.2	12.6	3.8	10.4	2.8	
Ethnicity											
Hispanic	9.3	1.6	12.1	3.3	S	S	11.1	4.4	8.2	2.9	
Not Hispanic	9.9	0.5	12.2	0.8	7.4	0.8	9.8	1.0	7.7	1.0	
Race											
American Indian or Alaska Native	18.9	4.8	25.2	6.9	S	S	-	-	30.6	15.9	
Asian	9.6	1.1	13.8	1.8	5.2	1.5	10.5	2.6	6.6	1.9	
Black or African American	7.6	2.0	9.5	3.1	8.9	3.3	6.3	4.8	s	S	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	7.4	4.1	22.8	13.0	-	-	-	- - - -	-	-	
White	10.2	0.6	12.1	0.8	8.1	0.9	10.0	1.2	8.4	0.9	

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence or Stalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2} (continued)

				Female (Male (2,693)					
		otal	Undergraduate (2,525)		Graduate or Professional (1,441)		Undergraduate (1,553)		Graduate or Professional (1,140)	
Survey Item Response	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Stalking										
Sexual orientation										
Heterosexual	3.6	0.2	6.1	0.5	3.8	0.7	2.2	0.4	1.6	0.3
Non-Heterosexual	8.0	1.1	10.9	2.1	11.7	2.5	3.5	1.7	4.6	1.9
Ethnicity										-
Hispanic	3.6	0.9	6.7	2.6	3.7	2.0	S	S	s	s
Not Hispanic	4.1	0.2	6.5	0.5	4.8	0.7	2.4	0.4	1.8	0.3
Race					1				1	
American Indian or Alaska Native	6.8	2.8	9.7	5.1	S	S	-	-	S	S
Asian	3.1	0.4	4.8	1.0	5.0	1.3	1.8	0.8	s	s
Black or African American	6.2	1.6	7.0	2.3	4.3	2.2	8.3	4.2	s	S
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	-	-	-	- - - - -	_	-	-	- - - - - -	-	-
White	4.1	0.3	7.0	0.5	4.2	0.6	1.8	0.3	2.2	0.4

¹Per 100 students.

²Estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.